



Meander Valley Council

W O R K I N G T O G E T H E R

ORDINARY MINUTES

COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday 13 March 2018

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 38/2018 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES: | 3 |
| 39/2018 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING: | 4 |
| 40/2018 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR:..... | 4 |
| 41/2018 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST: | 5 |
| 42/2018 TABLING OF PETITIONS: | 5 |
| 43/2018 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME..... | 5 |
| 44/2018 COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME | 7 |
| 45/2018 DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC | 11 |
| 46/2018 NOTICE OF MOTIONS BY COUNCILLORS | 12 |
| 47/2018 27 GLENMORE DRIVE, HADSPEN - SINGLE DWELLING AND RESIDENTIAL OUTBUILDING..... | 13 |
| 48/2018 NEW POLICY NO. 89 – MOBILE FOOD VEHICLES..... | 18 |
| 49/2018 DELORAINE & DISTRICTS RECREATION PRECINCT FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT CONSULTATION PERIOD | 23 |
| 50/2018 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - WESTBURY RECREATION GROUND | 26 |
| 51/2018 NOTICE OF MOTION – CAMPING AT BRACKNELL – CR IAN MACKENZIE..... | 29 |
| 52/2018 COUNCIL AUDIT PANEL INDEPENDENT MEMBER RE-APPOINTMENT AND RECEIPT OF MINUTES | 30 |
| 53/2018 REVIEW OF BUDGETS FOR THE 2017-2018 CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM | 31 |
| 54/2018 TOWNSCAPE, RESERVES AND PARKS SPECIAL COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP | 35 |
| ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:..... | 36 |
| 55/2018 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES | 36 |
| 56/2018 LEAVE OF ABSENCE..... | 36 |

Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Meander Valley Council held at the Council Chambers Meeting Room, 26 Lyall Street, Westbury, on Tuesday 13 March 2018 at 1.40pm.

PRESENT: Mayor Craig Perkins, Deputy-Mayor Michael Kelly, Councillors Andrew Connor, Tanya King, Ian Mackenzie, Bob Richardson, Rodney Synfield, John Temple and Deborah White.

APOLOGIES: Nil

IN ATTENDANCE: Martin Gill, General Manager
Merrilyn Young, Executive Assistant
Dino De Paoli, Director Infrastructure Services
Jonathan Harmey, Director Corporate Services
Matthew Millwood, Director Works
Lynette While, Director Community & Development Services
Justin Simons, Town Planner
Natasha Whiteley, Town Planner
Krista Palfreyman, Development Services Co-Ordinator
Katie Proctor, Environmental Health Officer
Durga Gopala-Krishnan, Environmental Health Officer
Marianne MacDonald, Communications Officer
Rob Little, Asset Management Co-Ordinator
Natasha Szczyglowska, Technical Officer, Open Spaces
Duncan Mayne, Graduate Engineer
Kris Eade, Property Management Officer

38/2018 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:

Councillor White moved and Councillor King seconded, ***“that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on Tuesday 13 February, 2018, be received and confirmed.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

39/2018 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING:

| Date : | Items discussed: |
|--------------------|---|
| 20 February | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategic Planning Documentation Review & Implementation project |
| 27 February | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mobile Food Vans • Rural Kerbside Waste & Recycling Project • Organisation approach to Asset Management Presentation • Prospect Vale Park AFL & Soccer Sports Lighting Upgrade • Deloraine & Districts Recreation Feasibility Study Report – Consultation Response • Westbury Recreation Ground – Public Meeting Outcomes • Northern Tasmania Regional Special Olympics Bid |

40/2018 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR:

Thursday 15 February 2018

Westbury Recreation Ground Proposal Public Meeting, Westbury

Saturday 17 February 2018

Chudleigh Show

Election Commitment announcement (Blackstone Heights), Hon Michael Fergusson MP

Tuesday 20 February 2018

Council workshop

Thursday 22 February 2018

Prospect High School Eagle Awards presentation

Election Commitment announcement (Westbury), Mark Shelton MP

Northern Tasmania Croquet Association, Annual Mayors Challenge

Tuesday 27 February 2018

Australian Italian Club Day Centre Meals on Wheels launch

Election Commitment announcement (Prospect Vale), Brian Roe

Council Workshop

Thursday 1 March 2018

Deloraine High School Business partnership Group (Beacon Foundation)
Great Western Tiers Art Award Exhibition Opening

Friday 2 March 2018

LGAT General meeting (Burnie)

Sunday 4 March 2018

Westbury Show Society Honour Board unveiling

Monday 5 March 2018

Dinner with UTas Vice-Chancellor, Professor Rufus Black

Wednesday 7 March 2018

LGAT Breakfast (Launceston)

Friday 9 March 2018

Meeting with Professor David Adams, UTas

41/2018 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:

Nil

42/2018 TABLING OF PETITIONS:

Nil

43/2018 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**1. PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2018****1.1 Mr Neil Smith**

I also ask who paid what must have been a considerable cost to obtain the Consultant's report from Phil Austin. If it was not the Council, who was it?

If the Council did pay for the study, at what meeting was the expenditure approved and how much was it?

Response by Martin Gill, General Manager

The cost of the Study was \$15,647.22. Council paid for the study. The expenditure came from the approved 2016/17 operating budget (Road Management) for Infrastructure Services.

2. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – MARCH 2018

Nil

3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – MARCH 2018

3.1 Mr G McCulloch

How many food vans are going to be allowed?

***Response by Lynette While, Director Community & Development Services
Council does not know how many vans will seek to operate in Meander Valley. Consideration will be given on receipt of each application to how many vans have a permit for any given location. Council officers have prepared Guidelines that will also assist in determining the maximum number of vans, including consideration to traffic and pedestrian safety, proximity to permanent food businesses and also to residential locations and times of operation.***

What about the waste and grease trap?

Question taken on Notice

3.2 Mrs S Stevenson

60 & 80kph sign on approach to T intersection of Meander Valley Road and Oaks Road on both approaches. Do we need a fatal accident for Departments to do this request? Bishopsbourne Road gets an 80kph just because a new housing estate is in the development stages, whereas, Monds Lane and Oaks Road already have 9 families of which 8 children reside. Traffic which includes trucks and cars have tripled in the past 6 years and drivers only react to speed signage not other signs in this case. Trucks are not turning off engine brakes either.

In conclusion please can common sense make this work? Tapes across the road to collect data are not totally correct as drivers take their foot off the accelerator and brake.

Question taken on Notice

44/2018 COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

1. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2018

1.1 Cr. Deborah White

Relates to January Briefing Report items CD & S 4 – World Fly Fishing Championships 2019.

- a) Memorably, this Council recently excluded the Expression of Interest from River Fly Fishing to purchase the Meander Primary School site, by making the decision that the site was to be used only for purposes which provided “community benefit”.

Perhaps we can see more clearly now how the whole Meander Valley could have stood to benefit from the establishment of a fly fishing hub in the heart of our municipality and wining a stone’s throw of the finest fly fishing venues in Tasmania, instead of standing by while a relatively distant city location reaps the rewards of hosting this event.

Further this is not the only initiative we as a Council have failed to grasp. Though eminently suited to the development of mountain bike trails, this initiative has been taken up, not by us, but by Dorset, with an attendant rise in the economy of that area.

Though we are the producers of some of the finest foods in Tasmania, it is West Tamar that is hosting a Farm Gate Festival which includes gourmet dinners of local produce created by Tasmania’s finest chefs.

How can we do better as a Council when it comes to making decisions about economic development in our municipality?

Response by Martin Gill, General Manager

I would recommend that Council brings this question to a Council workshop for further discussion.

- b) Hadspen Urban Growth project (Briefing Report p48 or 100) states the desired outcome of the meeting with Landowners is to progress the development in partnership with Council. Could the GM refresh our memories with a description of Council’s desired aim for this partnership?

Response by Martin Gill, General Manager

Council adopted Policy No. 87 - Hadspen Urban Growth Area Development in June 2016. The purpose of the policy is to establish guidelines for the provision of infrastructure by Council, and securing contributions from landowners, to facilitate development in the Hadspen Urban Growth Area. The aim for the partnership is reflected by the objectives of the policy which are:

- **the construction of infrastructure which will underpin the development of the Hadspen Urban Growth Area**
- **a framework for financing Council investment in the capital cost of the construction of infrastructure**
- **a model for ensuring that Council recovers its investment**

c) GWT Art Award: in partnership with the Launceston Art Society: what is the reason that Council holds this in partnership with a Launceston art group rather than a local arts group?

Response by Patrick Gambles, Community Development Manger

Council has developed a close working relationship with the Launceston Art Society through ten years of Meandering art exhibitions. The Society has a regional focus and a wide membership that includes residents of Meander Valley. It provides its assistance voluntarily.

There is currently no visual arts group within Meander Valley with the necessary experience and resources to fulfil the judging, hanging and administrative duties associated with the GWT Arts Award event.

2. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – MARCH 2018

Nil

3. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – MARCH 2018

3.1 Cr Deborah White

a) Council's roadside weed spraying is about to commence: will it involve the use of glyphosate?

Response by Matthew Millwood, Director Works
Yes it will

- b) With evidence mounting concerning the negative effects of the use of glyphosate, I remind Council of the recommendation of the motion that I put to the Council meeting of April 2016, viz.,

That Meander Valley Council monitors current research into the effects of glyphosate and explores the use of available non-toxic alternatives.

Evidence is mounting concerning the negative effects of the use of glyphosate on public health. This now includes papers from the Harvard Medical School Journal noting a positive correlation between the widespread use of glyphosate in the 80's and the dramatic rise in the incidence of autism. As a Council how can we step up our efforts to introduce non-toxic methods of weed control?

Question taken on Notice

- c) During a recent workshop in which we looked at community engagement in Council activities, I suggested that we need to strengthen the opportunity for input from young people of the municipality into our ODP's.

The report from the Tasmanian Youth Local Government 2017 Conference contains several motions which outline ways to do that.

They included motions on Waste Management, Recreational Facilities, Youth Employment, Public Transport, Age-friendly communities, Youth Advisory Groups, Community Mental Health.

Could the General Manager advise as to how we as a Council could incorporate some or all of these suggestions in our Council's Strategic Plan.

Question taken on Notice

3.2 Cr. Bob Richardson

I have recently fielded a number of questions from residents in Bracknell and Carrick regarding heavy traffic movements.

It is reported that logging trucks are entering Bracknell from the west and proceeding through Bracknell onto Oaks Road.

The concerns expressed include:-

- Truck movements involved are travelling through Bracknell “at all hours”, and are noisy, using air brakes and causing significant vibration;
- Trucks frequently stop outside the local shop with their engines running for extended periods; and
- Movements in the northern section of Oaks Road are often too fast for the conditions and number of heavy trucks;

A comment passed was that the Oaks Road/Meander Valley Road needs a review, with the possibility of lowering the speed limit on Meander Valley Road leading towards that intersection; similarly for Oaks Road.

Will Council officers please investigate the situation and report back to Council?

Response by Martin Gill, General Manager
Yes we will.

3.3 Cr Tanya King

- a) The recent closure of the Westbury Recreation ground to low impact overnight stays has prompted an outcry from the local small business community, the tourism industry, the campers, and local residents.

Given the strong community concern and high loss of tourist/visitor dollars to the Meander Valley as a whole, could Council arrange and facilitate a meeting in order to establish a working group, consisting of business owners, tourism representatives, community, council officers and councillors with a view to find a holistic, long term and permanent solution?

Response by Martin Gill, General Manager
The matter will be discussed at the next Council Workshop.

- b) In previous years, many questions have been fielded regarding the itemised waste charge on rates notices.
We don't currently itemise any of the other functions that Council perform, and their cost. I have received information from the Tax Office, indicating where my taxes are spent, in a pie chart, and find it very interesting.
Could Council please provide similar information with rates notices indicating how rates contributions are spent across our municipality?

Response by Jonathan Harmey, Director Corporate Services
The Local Government Act requires Council to display certain rates and service charges on the rates notice. Council provides information to the community about where expenditure is experienced in the Annual Report and rates

brochure that accompanies the rates notice. We can look at options for charts and workshop this with Councillors in May.

3.3 Cr Andrew Connor

Now that the 2018 Tasmanian State election has been run and won can the Mayor or General Manager provide a list of election promises made by the re-elected government in response to Meander Valley Council's election priority list for the Bass and Lyons electorates, detailing the project, funding amount promised and time-frame for delivery?

Question taken on Notice

45/2018 DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Mr Neil Smith made the following deputation to Council.

We refer to questions at the February 2018 Council meeting regarding the adequacy of the background material provided to Councillors when they are expected to make a decision on a motion.

In particular, two cases being the recently proposed extension of the Meander Falls road, and some years ago a proposal to build a mini-hydro plant on the Fisher River, Councillors were not expressly made aware that the sites of the projects were within the Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area (WHA), with all the legal and environmental issues that entails. These include responsibilities under the Commonwealth ERPBC Act as well as Tasmanian legislation and the statutory Management Plan for the WHA.

In the former case the General Manager did tell the questioner that councillors had been told of the World Heritage listing at a previous workshop. However, in the case of Fish River, many Councillors actually voted to approve the development before they became aware (via a question at a later meeting) of the situation.

We, as those involved in this deputation, feel that Councillors have a fundamental right to be informed of such important factors prior to their being asked to debate and vote on a proposal. Such things will clearly influence their thinking. Furthermore, factors such as a proposal being with the WHA are of enormous interest to sections of the Meander Valley community – hence, the formal background material provided in conjunction with the agenda for a Council meeting (displayed on the website and in printed in Council offices) is the obvious and appropriate place for such material to appear.

Accordingly we implore the Council to formulate and pass a motion to ensure that in future the background material relating to any development in the World Heritage Area or any area reserved under the Nature Conservation Act (Tas) clearly states such matters, in order that Councillors and the Public are adequately informed.

46/2018 NOTICE OF MOTIONS BY COUNCILLORS

51/2018 Notice of Motion – Camping at Bracknell – Cr Ian Mackenzie

COUNCIL MEETING AS A PLANNING AUTHORITY

The Mayor advised that for item 47/2018 Council is acting as a Planning Authority under the provisions of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*.

47/2018 27 GLENMORE DRIVE, HADSPEN - SINGLE DWELLING AND RESIDENTIAL OUTBUILDING

The Mayor invited Mr Barry Woolnough and Mr Tom Everitt to address Council regarding this item.

1) Introduction

This report considers application PA\18\0151 for a single dwelling and residential outbuilding on land located at 27 Glenmore Drive, Hadspen (CT:174146\81).

2) Recommendation

That the application for Use and Development for Single Dwelling and Residential Outbuilding on land located at 27 Glenmore Drive, Hadspen (CT:174146\81) by S-A Lockett and T Everett, requiring the following discretions:

- 10.4.2 – Setback of Garage
- 10.4.2 - Building Envelope
- 10.4.4 – Window Orientation
- E5.5.1 – Flood Prone Area

be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans :

- a) N Fitsialos, Drawing No: MLEL161102C, Sheets: 01-06***
- b) RANBUILD , Drawing No: LAUNC2-2957, Sheet: 1/1***

and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to the commencement of any other works a 450mm square pit is to be reinstalled at the property's stormwater connection point on the north-east boundary to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure Services (450 sq Grated Pitt, Hudson Civil HP111 or equivalent).**
- 2. The use of the approved outbuilding is not permitted for human habitation and is limited to residential storage and related residential activities only.**

Note:

- 1. Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Community and Development Services on 6393 5320 or via email: mail@mvc.tas.gov.au**

- 2. This permit does not imply that any other approval required under any other by-law or legislation has been granted. The following additional approvals may be required before construction commences:**
 - a) Building approval**
 - b) Plumbing approval****All enquiries should be directed to Council's Permit Authority on 6393 5322 or Council's Plumbing Surveyor on 0419 510 770.**

3. This permit takes effect after:
 - a) The 14 day appeal period expires; or
 - b) Any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or.
 - c) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.

4. A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Registrar of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal. A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant. For more information see the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal website www.rmpat.tas.gov.au

5. If an applicant is the only person with a right of appeal pursuant to section 61 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and wishes to commence the use or development for which the permit has been granted within that 14 day period, the Council must be so notified in writing. A copy of Council's Notice to Waive Right of Appeal is attached.

6. This permit is valid for two (2) years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.

7. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
8. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works;
 - a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction,
 - b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au); and
 - c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

DECISION:

Cr Connor moved and Cr Mackenzie seconded ***“that the application for Use and Development for Single Dwelling and Residential Outbuilding on land located at 27 Glenmore Drive, Hadspen (CT:174146\81) by S-A Lockett and T Everett, requiring the following discretions:***

- 10.4.2 – Setback of Garage
- 10.4.2 - Building Envelope
- 10.4.4 – Window Orientation
- E5.5.1 – Flood Prone Area

be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans :

- a) N Fitsialos, Drawing No: MLEL161102C, Sheets: 01-06***
- b) RANBUILD , Drawing No: LAUNC2-2957, Sheet: 1/1***

and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to the commencement of any other works a 450mm square pit is to be reinstalled at the property’s stormwater connection point on the north-east boundary to the satisfaction of Council’s Director Infrastructure Services (450 sq Grated Pitt, Hudson Civil HP111 or equivalent).**

- 2. The use of the approved outbuilding is not permitted for human habitation and is limited to residential storage and related residential activities only.**

Note:

- 1. Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Community and Development Services on 6393 5320 or via email: mail@mvc.tas.gov.au**
- 2. This permit does not imply that any other approval required under any other by-law or legislation has been granted. The following additional approvals may be required before construction commences:**
 - a) Building approval**
 - b) Plumbing approval****All enquiries should be directed to Council's Permit Authority on 6393 5322 or Council's Plumbing Surveyor on 0419 510 770.**
3. This permit takes effect after:
 - a) The 14 day appeal period expires; or
 - b) Any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or.
 - c) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.
4. A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Registrar of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal. A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant. For more information see the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal website www.rmpat.tas.gov.au
5. If an applicant is the only person with a right of appeal pursuant to section 61 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 and wishes to commence the use or development for which the permit has been granted within that 14 day period, the Council must be so notified in writing. A copy of Council's Notice to Waive Right of Appeal is attached.

6. This permit is valid for two (2) years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.
7. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
8. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works;
 - a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction,
 - b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au); and
 - c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield and Temple voting for the motion and Cr White voting against the motion.

Comment by Cr Deborah White

I do not support this application as I believe the applicant has sufficient room to site the shed so that it complies with Performance Criteria 3:-

"not cause unreasonable loss and amenity by: overshadowing of an adjoining vacant lot and visual impacts caused by the apparent scale, bulk or proportions of the dwelling when viewed by an adjoining lot;"

and that in the interest of fairness to all, the applicant should site the shed so that it complies with setback requirements.

48/2018 NEW POLICY NO. 89 – MOBILE FOOD VEHICLES

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to adopt a Mobile Food Vehicles Policy.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Adopt Policy No. 89 Mobile Food Vehicles as follows; and*
- 2. Applies a fee of \$163.50 for the granting of a permit for the 2017-18 financial year as per section 205 of the Local Government Act 1993.*

POLICY MANUAL

Policy Number: 89

Mobile Food Vehicles

Purpose:

The purpose of this Policy is to provide direction for the granting of permits for mobile food vehicles to operate from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

Department:

Community & Development Services

Author:

Katie Proctor, Environmental Health Officer

Council Meeting Date:

13 March 2018

Minute Number:

XX

Next Review Date:

March 2022

POLICY

1. Definitions

A **mobile food vehicle** is a food business that operates from a mobile structure under the Food Act 2003. A mobile structure includes a vehicle or trailer that is not permanently fixed to the whole, or part, of a building, structure or land, in, at or from which food is, or is intended to be, handled or sold.

A **public street** means any street, road, lane, thoroughfare, footpath, bridge or place open to the public, or to which the public have or are permitted to have access, whether on payment of a fee or otherwise as defined in the Traffic Act 1925.

Council owned or managed property includes Council managed parks, reserves, sports grounds, car parks and road reserves.

2. Objective

The objective of this policy is to establish the conditions under which Council may grant permits for mobile food vehicles to operate from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

3. Scope

The policy shall apply to anyone wanting to operate a mobile food vehicle within the municipality from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

4. Policy

Council supports the operation of mobile food vehicles within Meander Valley.

Council will consider applications for permits for mobile food vehicles on any public street within the municipality and on Council owned or managed property.

4.1 Assessment considerations

When assessing permit applications, the Council will take into account the following matters:-

- Advice from Tasmania Police as to the traffic and safety conditions and any other impact of the operation;
- Advice from Department of State Growth if the proposed location is suitable;
- Advice from key users (e.g. sports clubs) of Council owned or managed property;
- Whether the operation is an appropriate distance from established permanently fixed food premises;
- Proximity of sensitive uses (e.g. school, hospital); and
- Proposed time of day and period of operation.

Guidelines are to be made to give effect to this policy.

4.2 Exemptions

This policy does not apply to applications by mobile food vehicles to operate on a public road during an event where Council has granted permission for a road closure to conduct that event.

5. Legislation

Vehicle & Traffic Act 1999

Food Act 2003

Meander Valley Interim Planning Scheme 2013

Traffic Act 1925

6. Responsibility

Responsibility for the operation of the policy rests with the Director Community & Development Services and Director Infrastructure Services.

DECISION:

Cr Connor moved and Cr King seconded ***“that Council:***

- 1. Adopt Policy No. 89 Mobile Food Vehicles as follows; and***
- 2. Applies a fee of \$163.50 for the granting of a permit for the 2017-2018 financial year as per section 205 of the Local Government Act 1993.***

POLICY MANUAL

Policy Number: 89

Mobile Food Vehicles

Purpose:

The purpose of this Policy is to provide direction for the granting of permits for mobile food vehicles to operate from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

Department:

Community & Development Services

Author:

Katie Proctor, Environmental Health Officer

Council Meeting Date:

13 March 2018

Minute Number:

47/2018

Next Review Date:

March 2019

POLICY

1. Definitions

A **mobile food vehicle** is a food business that operates from a mobile structure under the Food Act 2003. A mobile structure includes a vehicle or trailer that is not permanently fixed to the whole, or part, of a building, structure or land, in, at or from which food is, or is intended to be, handled or sold.

A **public street** means any street, road, lane, thoroughfare, footpath, bridge or place open to the public, or to which the public have or are permitted to have access, whether on payment of a fee or otherwise as defined in the Traffic Act 1925.

Council owned or managed property includes Council managed parks, reserves, sports grounds, car parks and road reserves.

2. Objective

The objective of this policy is to establish the conditions under which Council may grant permits for mobile food vehicles to operate from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

3. Scope

The policy shall apply to anyone wanting to operate a mobile food vehicle within the municipality from a public street or Council owned or managed property.

4. Policy

Council supports the operation of mobile food vehicles within Meander Valley.

Council will consider applications for permits for mobile food vehicles on any public street within the municipality and on Council owned or managed property.

4.1 Assessment considerations

When assessing permit applications, the Council will take into account the following matters:-

- Advice from Tasmania Police as to the traffic and safety conditions and any other impact of the operation;
- Advice from Department of State Growth if the proposed location is suitable;
- Advice from key users (e.g. sports clubs) of Council owned or managed property;

- Whether the operation is an appropriate distance from established permanently fixed food premises;
- Proximity of sensitive uses (e.g. school, hospital); and
- Proposed time of day and period of operation.

Guidelines are to be made to give effect to this policy.

4.2 Exemptions

This policy does not apply to applications by mobile food vehicles to operate on a public street during an event where Council has granted permission for a street closure to conduct that event.

5. Legislation

Vehicle & Traffic Act 1999

Food Act 2003

Meander Valley Interim Planning Scheme 2013

Traffic Act 1925

6. Responsibility

Responsibility for the operation of the policy rests with the Director Community & Development Services and Director Infrastructure Services.

The amended motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Perkins, Richardson, Temple and White voting for the motion and Councillors Mackenzie and Synfield voting against the motion.

As an amendment Cr Richardson moved and Cr Mackenzie seconded ***“that the Policy be reviewed in 12 months from the implementation of the Policy.”***

The amendment was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Temple and White voting for the amendment and Cr Synfield voting against the amendment.

Comment by Cr Bob Richardson

The issue of mobile food vehicles is likely to be the subject of principles of competitive neutrality where Council assets, including use of Council assets.

I also consider that there should have been formal consultation with existing businesses supplying food to the community.

There also, I believe, should be a review at the end, of say, 12 months to consider how well the policy is working.

49/2018 DELORAINE & DISTRICTS RECREATION PRECINCT FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT CONSULTATION PERIOD

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to approve an extension and expand the scope of the formal period of stakeholder and community consultation in respect of the Deloraine & Districts Recreation Precinct Feasibility Study (DDRPFS) Background and Summary Reports (January 2018) that were received at the January meeting of Council.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Extends and expands the scope of the formal period of stakeholder and community consultation as follows:***
 - 1.1. Follow-up all emails sent with a further reminder email and phone calls as required seeking feedback.***
 - 1.2. Subject to results of the feedback Council to engage a consultant to undertake key tasks of the next phase of consultation with stakeholders and the community. The extent of such engagement to be determined after review of the follow-up.***
 - 1.3. Convene a meeting of Committee and members of the Deloraine Senior and Junior Football Clubs as a priority and receive their formal feedback on the project.***
 - 1.4. Convene a meeting with Education Department representatives, including Principals of Deloraine Primary School and Deloraine High School, and receive their formal feedback on the project.***
 - 1.5. Subject to the follow-up emails and phone calls convene meetings or interviews with all key stakeholders, throughout the municipality and including State Sporting Organisations, and brief them about the report findings and receive their formal feedback on the project.***
 - 1.6. Conduct a public meeting at Deloraine Community Complex at a time / date that would allow a greater number of community members to be present and so as to receive a report on the project and receive feedback.***

DECISION:

As an alternate motion Cr White moved and Cr Kelly seconded ***“that Council***

- 1. Extends the formal period of stakeholder and community consultation as follows:**
 - 1.1 Contact groups who have yet to provide feedback, offering assistance with the feedback process by way of a meeting with Council officers, at which they will be briefed about the report findings, supplied with an improved map of the proposed connecting pathways from the schools to the proposed DRP, and given an opportunity to complete the feedback form.**
 - 1.2 Convene meetings with representatives of the following categories of groups who have not provided feedback through the initial phase of consultation, for the purpose of 1.1 above:**
 - 1.2.1 Community Organisations**
 - 1.2.2 Schools**
 - 1.2.3 Education Department representatives**
 - 1.2.4 Sports groups**
 - 1.2.5 Cultural groups**
 - 1.2.6 States sporting associations.**
 - 1.3 Conduct a public meeting at the Deloraine Community Complex at a time and date that would allow a great number of community members to be present so as to present the report and receive feedback.**
 - 1.4 Receive formal feedback from all stakeholders on the project.**
 - 1.5 Review feedback at a Council workshop.**

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion and Cr Richardson voting against the motion.

Comment by Cr Bob Richardson

The proposal to spend up to \$33.1 million dollars on Deloraine facilities will effectively confine Council spending on sports, recreational and cultural groups to Deloraine for 10 years?

Council's role could include support for requests from Deloraine groups to request grants from State and Commonwealth authorities. It is unlikely that governments will fund the Municipality "twice, so to speak.

Consultation should be municipal-wide, including Blackstone, Prospect, Hadspen, Bracknell, Carrick and Westbury, with consultation clearly indicating the extent of requested funding of the Deloraine project.

The proposal for Deloraine involves a cost of above \$3,400 per rateable property, or a minimum of \$340 per year over the 10 years, without annual running costs and maintenance.

50/2018 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT - WESTBURY RECREATION GROUND

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to review the motions carried at the Public Meeting held under the provisions of Section 60F of the Local Government Act 1993 (Act) in the Westbury Town Hall on 15 February 2018.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Notes the motions carried at the Public Meeting held on 15 February 2018***
- 2. Rescind decision 206/2017 Westbury Recreation Ground Sports Pavilion – Design Development and Cost Estimate, made at the Ordinary Council Meeting September 2017, in accordance with the provisions Regulation 18 of the Local Government (meeting Regulations) 2015.***
- 3. Direct the General Manager to:***
 - Work with user groups to review and confirm the project brief for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities***
 - Prepare a design for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities***
 - Put the design for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities to tender in accordance with the Meander Valley Council Code of Tenders***
- 4. Includes a project in the 2018 – 2019 Operating Budget to review and determine the need for additional community facilities in Westbury, including the upgrade of existing public facilities.***

DECISION:

Cr Connor moved and Cr White seconded ***“that Council:***

- 1. Notes the motions carried at the Public Meeting held on 15 February 2018***

2. ***Rescind decision 206/2017 Westbury Recreation Ground Sports Pavilion – Design Development and Cost Estimate, made at the Ordinary Council Meeting September 2017, in accordance with the provisions Regulation 18 of the Local Government (meeting Regulations) 2015.***
3. ***Direct the General Manager to:***
 - ***Work with user groups to review and confirm the project brief for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities***
 - ***Prepare a design for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities***
 - ***Put the design for the upgrade and refurbishment of the existing Westbury Recreation Ground clubroom facilities to tender in accordance with the Meander Valley Council Code of Tenders***
4. ***Includes a project in the 2018–2019 Operating Budget to review and determine the need for additional community facilities in Westbury, including the upgrade of existing public facilities.***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, Kelly, King, Mackenzie, Perkins and White voting for the motion and Councillors Richardson, Synfield and Temple voting against the motion.

Comment by Cr Ian Mackenzie

I have spent many hours on the proposed Community Function Centre and I am very disappointed that the majority of the community members (at the Public Meeting 15 February 2018) did not support Council's vision to revitalise Westbury. I will, however, support this motion because it allows Council to move on and get the clubrooms upgraded for the two clubs, they have waited long enough, but I would like to note that I have major concerns about the price that Council will have to pay for such an upgrade. I'm also extremely disappointed that a councillor wrote the petition (for another party) and then intensely canvassed signatures against that "decision of council"

Comment by Cr Bob Richardson

I cannot support the motion because I do not have all the necessary information on which to make an objective decision.

Comment by Cr John Temple

I voted against the motion primarily because Point 3 dot point 1 did not specify the groups to be consulted and that Point 3 dot point 2 did not allow for a possible demolition of the existing building

The Council meeting adjourned for afternoon tea at 3.07pm.

The Council meeting resumed at 3.25pm.

Deputy-Mayor Michael Kelly left the meeting at 3.25pm.

51/2018 NOTICE OF MOTION – CAMPING AT BRACKNELL – CR IAN MACKENZIE

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider a Notice of Motion from Cr Mackenzie that Council commence the process to assess the feasibility of providing camping and overnight stays at the Bracknell Recreation Ground.

2) Recommendation (Cr Ian Mackenzie)

It is recommended that Council commence the process to assess the feasibility of providing camping and overnight stays at the Bracknell Recreation Ground.

DECISION:

Cr Mackenzie moved and Cr Synfield seconded ***“that Council commence the process to provide camping and overnight stays at the Bracknell Recreation Ground.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

52/2018 COUNCIL AUDIT PANEL INDEPENDENT MEMBER RE-APPOINTMENT AND RECEIPT OF MINUTES

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to re-appoint independent Audit Panel member Chris Lyall for a period of two years and to receive the minutes of the Audit Panel meeting held on 27 February 2018.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Re-appoint Chris Lyall as an independent member of Council's Audit Panel for a period of two years to April 2020*
- 2. receive the minutes of the Council Audit Panel meeting held on 27 February 2018*

DECISION:

Cr Richardson moved and Cr Mackenzie seconded "***that Council:***

- 1. re-appoint Chris Lyall as an independent member of Council's Audit Panel for a period of two years to April 2020*
- 2. receive the minutes of the Council Audit Panel meeting held on 27 February 2018."*

*The motion was declared **CARRIED** with Councillors Connor, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.*

53/2018 REVIEW OF BUDGETS FOR THE 2017-2018 CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is to seek Council approval for the reallocation of funding within the Capital Works Program as a result of project cost variations, and for Council to consider the bitumen sealing of parts of Western Creek Road and Lees Road as a new project within the Capital Works Program.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1) Approves the following changes to the 2017-2018 Capital Works Program.**

| <i>Project Name</i> | <i>Original Budget</i> | <i>Proposed Budget Variation</i> | <i>New Budget</i> |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| <i>Tower Hill St – Deloraine, kerb and channel – complete</i> | <i>\$115,000</i> | <i>-\$45,000</i> | <i>\$70,000</i> |
| <i>Lansdowne Pl – Deloraine, footpath renewal - complete</i> | <i>\$45,000</i> | <i>-\$32,000</i> | <i>\$13,000</i> |
| <i>Monds Lne – Carrick, new footpath</i> | <i>\$50,000</i> | <i>-\$50,000</i> | <i>\$0</i> |
| <i>Caveside Rd – Caveside, road reconstruction – complete</i> | <i>\$115,000</i> | <i>\$40,000</i> | <i>\$155,000</i> |
| <i>Dairy Plains Rd - Western Creek, road reconstruction – complete</i> | <i>\$380,000</i> | <i>\$52,000</i> | <i>\$432,000</i> |
| <i>Gulf Rd – Liffey, road embankment reconstruction (2016 flood project)</i> | <i>\$1,000,000</i> | <i>\$152,000</i> | <i>\$1,152,000</i> |
| <i>Emu Bay Rd – Deloraine, kerb renewal</i> | <i>\$60,000</i> | <i>-\$60,000</i> | <i>\$0</i> |
| <i>Blackspot Railton Rd-Dunorlan Road Intersection improvements – in progress</i> | <i>\$285,000</i> | <i>\$215,000</i> | <i>\$500,000</i> |
| <i>Liffey River Bridge – Bracknell, complete</i> | <i>\$30,000</i> | <i>\$21,000</i> | <i>\$51,000</i> |
| <i>Westbury Rec. Grd - Public Toilets, complete</i> | <i>\$100,000</i> | <i>\$26,000</i> | <i>\$126,000</i> |

| Project Name | Original Budget | Proposed Budget Variation | New Budget |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Bracknell Hall - Bracing Building Structure (proposed reconstruction in 18/19) | \$35,000 | -\$30,000 | \$5,000 |
| HUGAP Road Infrastructure, complete | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| Weighbridge Deloraine Landfill | \$60,000 | -\$60,000 | \$0 |
| Winifred-Jane Cres, Hadspen – Stormwater | \$40,000 | -\$32,000 | \$8,000 |
| Tyler House, Prospect – Stormwater – complete | \$40,000 | -\$28,000 | \$12,000 |
| William St Westbury – Stormwater, complete | \$36,000 | -\$22,000 | \$14,000 |
| Kipling Cr - Hadspen Stormwater | \$196,100 | -\$161,000 | \$35,100 |
| Liffey St Carrick Stormwater, complete | \$47,800 | \$28,000 | \$75,800 |
| Percy Street Drainage Improvements – Carrick, in progress | \$0 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 |
| Open Drain Program, Carrick – in progress | \$92,200 | -\$66,000 | \$26,200 |
| Gulf Rd, Liffey – Stormwater, complete | \$0 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| Urban Stormwater Drainage – Program Budget – in progress | \$65,700 | -\$24,000 | \$41,700 |
| 6.5 Tonne Tip Truck (No.975) | \$5,000 | \$60,000 | \$65,000 |
| Tractor Replacement (Plant 800), complete | \$45,000 | -\$45,000 | \$0 |
| Tractor Replacement (Plant 800), complete | \$100,000 | -\$25,000 | \$75,000 |

2) Does not approve the inclusion of the bitumen sealing of parts of Western Creek Road and Lees Road in the current capital works program and further considers the merits of undertaking an unsealed road sealing program at a future Council Workshop.

DECISION:

Cr Mackenzie moved and Cr Richardson seconded **“that Council:**

- 1) Approves the following changes to the 2017-2018 Capital Works Program.**

| Project Name | Original Budget | Proposed Budget Variation | New Budget |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Tower Hill St – Deloraine, kerb and channel, complete | \$115,000 | -\$45,000 | \$70,000 |
| Lansdowne Pl – Deloraine, footpath renewal, complete | \$45,000 | -\$32,000 | \$13,000 |
| Monds Lane – Carrick, new footpath | \$50,000 | -\$50,000 | \$0 |
| Caveside Rd – Caveside, road reconstruction, complete | \$115,000 | \$40,000 | \$155,000 |
| Dairy Plains Rd - Western Creek, road reconstruction, complete | \$380,000 | \$52,000 | \$432,000 |
| Gulf Rd – Liffey, road embankment reconstruction (2016 flood project) | \$1,000,000 | \$152,000 | \$1,152,000 |
| Emu Bay Rd – Deloraine, kerb renewal | \$60,000 | -\$60,000 | \$0 |
| Blackspot Railton Rd-Dunorlan Road Intersection improvements – in progress | \$285,000 | \$215,000 | \$500,000 |
| Liffey River Bridge – Bracknell, complete | \$30,000 | \$21,000 | \$51,000 |
| Westbury Rec. Grd - Public Toilets, complete | \$100,000 | \$26,000 | \$126,000 |
| Bracknell Hall - Bracing Building Structure (proposed reconstruction in 18/19) | \$35,000 | -\$30,000 | \$5,000 |
| HUGAP Road Infrastructure, complete | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |
| Weighbridge Deloraine Landfill | \$60,000 | -\$60,000 | \$0 |
| Winifred-Jane Cres, Hadspen – Stormwater | \$40,000 | -\$32,000 | \$8,000 |
| Tyler House, Prospect – Stormwater – complete | \$40,000 | -\$28,000 | \$12,000 |

| Project Name | Original Budget | Proposed Budget Variation | New Budget |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| William St Westbury – Stormwater, complete | \$36,000 | -\$22,000 | \$14,000 |
| Kipling Cr - Hadspen Stormwater | \$196,100 | -\$161,000 | \$35,100 |
| Liffey St Carrick Stormwater, complete | \$47,800 | \$28,000 | \$75,800 |
| Percy Street Drainage Improvements – Carrick, in progress | \$0 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 |
| Open Drain Program, Carrick – in progress | \$92,200 | -\$66,000 | \$26,200 |
| Gulf Rd, Liffey – Stormwater, complete | \$0 | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| Urban Stormwater Drainage – Program Budget – in progress | \$65,700 | -\$24,000 | \$41,700 |
| 6.5 Tonne Tip Truck (No.975) | \$5,000 | \$60,000 | \$65,000 |
| Tractor Replacement (Plant 800), complete | \$45,000 | -\$45,000 | \$0 |
| Tractor Replacement (Plant 800), complete | \$100,000 | -\$25,000 | \$75,000 |

2) Does not approve the inclusion of the bitumen sealing of parts of Western Creek Road and Lees Road in the current capital works program and further considers the merits of undertaking an unsealed road sealing program at a future Council Workshop.

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Temple and White voting for the motion and Cr Synfield voting against the motion.

54/2018 TOWNSCAPE, RESERVES AND PARKS SPECIAL COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

1) Introduction

The purpose of this report is for Council to appoint a new community representative to Council's Townscape, Reserves and Parks (TRAP) Special Committee.

The role of TRAP is to advise Council on strategic development and to consult with the community regarding the development of townscapes, reserves and parks.

2) Recommendation

It is recommended that Mr Christopher Weare be appointed to the Townscape Reserves and Parks Special Committee by Council under Section 24 (2) of the Local Government Act 1993

DECISION:

Cr Connor moved and Cr Synfield seconded ***“that Mr Christopher Weare be appointed to the Townscape Reserves and Parks Special Committee by Council under Section 24 (2) of the Local Government Act 1993.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:

Councillor Mackenzie moved and Councillor White seconded ***“that pursuant to Regulation 15(2)(g) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council close the meeting to the public to discuss the following items.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Connor, King, Mackenzie, Perkins, Richardson, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

55/2018 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Confirmation of Minutes of the Closed Session of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 13 February, 2018.

56/2018 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(h) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015)

The meeting moved into Closed Session at 3.59 pm

The meeting re-opened to the public at 4.02 pm

The meeting closed at 4.02pm

.....
CRAIG PERKINS (MAYOR)