

# MINUTES ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING

## Tuesday, 13 May 2025

**Time** 3.09pm

**Location** Council Chambers

26 Lyall Street

Westbury, Tasmania

**Telephone** (03) 6393 5300

#### **Attendance**

**Chairperson** Mayor Wayne Johnston

**Councillors Present** Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron

Councillor Ben Dudman Councillor Kevin House

Councillor Anne-Marie Loader Councillor Rodney Synfield Councillor John Temple Councillor Daniel Smedley Councillor Christine Cronshaw

#### **Officers Present**

Jonathan Harmey General Manager

Krista Palfreyman Director Development and Regulatory Services

Craig Davies Director Corporate Services

David Murray Director Infrastructure Services

Matthew Millwood Director Works

Richard Burke Traffic and Civil Engineering (Agenda Item 12.1)

Brenton Josey Town Planner (Agenda Item 12.1)

Natasha Whiteley Team Leader Town Planning (Agenda Item 12.1)
Peter Jones Team Leader Engineering (Agenda Item 12.1)
Karl Boss-Walker Team Leader Community Facilities and Recreation

(Agenda Item 15.1)

Anthony Kempnich Manager Governance and Legal

Linda Coull Executive Assistant
Anthea Rooney Governance Officer

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Opening of Meeting and Apologies	4
2.	Acknowledgment of Country	4
3.	Confirmation of Minutes	4
4.	Declarations of Interest	5
5.	Council Workshop Report	6
6.	Mayor and Councillors' Reports	8
7.	Petitions	9
8.	Community Representations	9
9.	Public Question Time	10
10.	Councillor Question Time	18
11.	Councillor Notices of Motion	18
12.	Planning Authority Reports	19
	12.1. PA\24\0052 - 328 and 340 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh	19
13.	Development and Regulatory Services	35
	13.1. 2025-26 Animal Management Fees and Charges	35
	13.2. 2025-26 Environmental Health Fees and Charges	37
14.	Corporate Services	39
	14.1. Audit Panel Minutes - 25 March 2025	39
15.	Infrastructure Services	40
	15.1. Lease - Prospect Vale Sports Park	40
	15.2. 2025-26 Deloraine Waste Facility (Weighbridge Pricing)	42
16.	Motion to Close Meeting	43
17.	End of Closed Session and Release of Public Information	44
18.	Close of Meeting	44

## 1. Opening of Meeting and Apologies

Mayor Wayne Johnston opened the Meeting at 3.09pm.

## 2. Acknowledgment of Country

The Chairperson acknowledged the Pallitore and Panninher past peoples and the traditional owners and custodians of the land on which we gather today for the Council Meeting, paid respects to elders past and present and extended those respects to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples present.

## 3. Confirmation of Minutes

#### Motion

That Council receives and confirms the Minutes of the last Ordinary Council Meeting held on 8 April 2025.

Moved Councillor Anne-Marie Loader

**Seconded** Councillor Kevin House

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple,

Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 068/2025

#### 4. Declarations of Interest

Mayor Wayne Johnston declared an interest in Agenda Item  $12.1 - PA \cdot 24 \cdot 0052 - 328$  and 340 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh and elected to remain in Chambers to participate in discussion and voting on the Agenda Item.

Councillor Daniel Smedley declared an interest in Agenda Item 15.1 – Lease – Prospect Vale Sports Park and elected to leave Chambers during discussion and voting on the Agenda Item.

Councillor John Temple declared an interest in Closed Council Agenda Item 16.1 – 2025-26 Capital Works Program Project Approval and elected to leave Chambers during discussion and voting on the Agenda Item.

## 5. Council Workshop Report

Local Government (Meeting Procedure) Regulations 2015 – Regulation 8(2)

Topics Discussed – 29 April 2025

#### **Planning Applications for May Council Meetings**

Councillors received a review of Planning Applications to be presented to the May Council Meeting.

#### External Presentation – Visit Northern Tasmania

Councillors received a presentation from Visit Northern Tasmania's Chair and Chief Executive Officer.

#### Lease – Prospect Vale Sports Park

Councillors discussed conditions of the proposed lease.

#### 2025-26 Dog Registration Fees and Charges

Councillors reviewed the recommended dog registration fees and charges for the 2025-26 financial year.

#### 2025-26 Environmental Health Fees and Charges

Councillors reviewed the recommended environmental health fees and charges for the 2025-26 financial year.

#### **Budget Estimates and Capital Works Program Overview**

Councillors received a briefing on the Council's finances.

#### 2025-26 Capital Works Program

Councillors were presented with and discussed the Draft 2025-26 Capital Works Program.

#### **Christmas Decorations in Meander Valley 2025**

Councillors provided guidance on public Christmas decorations in the municipality.

#### Proposed Weighbridge Pricing Model – Deloraine

Councillors provided feedback on the proposed pricing model at the Deloraine Waste Depot.

#### Availability of Parking on Emu Bay Road in Deloraine

Councillors discussed parking issues in Deloraine following the submission of Questions With Notice.

#### **Return of Confidential Documentation**

Councillors discussed current and future arrangements for return of confidential documents.

## 6. Mayor and Councillors' Reports

#### Councillors' Official Activities and Engagements Since Last Meeting

#### **Mayor Wayne Johnston**

Attended or participated in the following events:

- 7 May 2025 Northern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategy Regional Strategic Directions – Local Government Workshop
- 9 May 2025 ExtracTas Bioscience 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary event

#### **Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron**

Attended or participated in the following events:

• 25 April 2025 – Deloraine ANZAC Day Morning Service

#### Councillor Ben Dudman

Attended or participated in the following events:

• 25 April 2025 – Westbury ANZAC Day Morning Service

#### **Councillor Kevin House**

Attended or participated in the following events:

- 8 April 2025 Carrick Hall Committee Meeting
- 25 April 2025 Carrick ANZAC Day Dawn Service
- 25 April 2025 Deloraine ANZAC Day Morning Service

#### **Councillor Anne-Marie Loader**

Attended or participated in the following events:

- 9 April 2025 Great Western Tiers Tourism Association Meeting
- 25 April 2025 Carrick ANZAC Day Dawn Service
- 25 April 2025 Mole Creek ANZAC Day Morning Service
- 26 April 2025 Hop to Harvest Festival
- 3 May 2025 Agfest

#### **Councillor John Temple**

Attended or participated in the following events:

- 25 April 2025 Westbury ANZAC Day Dawn Service
- 25 April 2025 Hagley ANZAC Day Morning Service

#### Councillors' Announcements and Acknowledgements

Mayor Wayne Johnston expressed condolences on behalf of Council to the friends and family of ex-Councillor and Deputy Mayor Jenny Dornauf who passed away, noting that she had been an active participant in the community activities.

#### 7. Petitions

For further information about Petitions, refer to the Local Government Act 1993: sections 57-60A

No new Petitions or Actions on Previous Petitions were received as part of this Meeting

## 8. Community Representations

Community representations are an opportunity for community members or groups to request up to three minutes to address Council on a topic of particular interest.

Requests received at least 14 days prior to a Council Meeting will be considered by the Chairperson. For further information, contact the Office of the General Manager on (03) 6393 5317 or email ogm@mvc.tas.gov.au.

No Community Representations were received as part of this Meeting

## 9. Public Question Time

#### 9.1. Public Questions With Notice

Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – Regulation 31(1)

(Questions With Notice must be in writing and should be received by the General Manager at least seven days before the relevant Council Meeting).

#### Question

Liz Nichols – ABX Mine Concerns – asked at the Council Meeting held on 8 April 2025

[With regard to the environmental effects (dust) of the proposed mine and DL130]

- 1. In light of the public health services formal recognition that respirable dust from DL130 poses potential health risks and that no base line dust data currently exists and real time dust monitoring is not proposed in the preliminary dust management plan, how can the Council satisfy its responsibility under section 20 of the Local Government Act 1993 to protect community health and well-being by considering the DL proposal prior to the final and approved dust management plan being made available?
- 2. Will the Council seek further clarification on the schedule and calibration of water quality monitoring, trigger points, chemical treatment regimens and escalation plans to ensure no long-term damage to our sensitive aquatic ecosystems occur as a consequence of the DL130 mine?

Krista Palfreyman (Director Development and Regulatory Services) advised that the application for the proposed mine (DL130) is a Level 2 activity pursuant to the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994*. As such, the assessment of both the environmental effects (dust) and aquatic ecosystems is regulated by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA). These questions should be directed to the EPA.

#### **Ouestion**

Stephen Huth – ABX Mining Concerns

[With regard to the zoning of land for the proposed ABX Mine site]

1. Why is this forico land being considered for mining where it will generate absolutely no benefit to the State, when it could in fact remain as forico land where it can produce and supply soft timber to the building industry which is currently experiencing a timber shortage and as such it can remain viable land and it will generate growth and capital for the State of Tasmania?

Krista Palfreyman (Director Development and Regulatory Services) advised the the Council cannot control who submits a planning application on what land. Rather, Council when acting as a Planning Authority, is required to assess any planning application pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* and in accordance with the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (State Planning Provisions and the Meander Valley Local Provision Schedule).

[With consideration to Porters Bridge and River Roads and trucks utilising the road]

2. How can the Meander Valley Council planners give their tick of approval in their assessment of Porters Bridge Road when it could be impacted by a potential extra 84 trips per day by trucks with dog trailer combinations without a full road upgrade?

Krista Palfreyman (Director Development and Regulatory Services) advised the application is being assessed in accordance with the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (State Planning Provisions and the Meander Valley Local Provision Schedule). The intensification of traffic on Porters Bridge Road is considered as part of the assessment of the application.

#### 9.2. Public Questions Without Notice

#### Question

Robyn Adams – Upgrade to Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh (6.38)

[With regard to upgrades on Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh]

- 1. Will each of the private companies who utilise Porters Bridge Road be required to proportionally contribute to the costs of the road infrastructure upgrade and maintenance?
- 2. Will the Council undertake to have 100% of the proposed upgrades funded to 100% by these companies who stand to profit from this infrastructure?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) advised that Council is only able assess the required upgrades to Porters Bridge Road in relation to the Planning Application that is being considered by Council at today's Meeting in relation to the one company only. The road upgrades that are expected to be required are associated with the current application. The majority of the costs of those upgrades required for the development will be met by the developer. There will be some associated works that the Council will contribute towards, which would be the minority of intended works, which are either peripheral to the required upgrade or existing issues such as some minor vegetation removal, improved signage, etc. In summary though, the majority of the required upgrades for this application are expected to be met by the developer.

#### Question

Linda Wasserfall - Upgrade to Porters Bridge Road (9.56)

1. Can the Council provide an estimate for the cost of the ABx Porters Bridge Road upgrade project?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) advised that the Council is not able to provide that information as a cost has yet to be determined and that will not have a bearing on the decision that is to be made with regard to the Planning Application being considered at today's Meeting. The assurance is that the developer will meet the majority of the costs required to upgrade Porters Bridge Road.

2. If the Council undertakes to upgrade the road, will the Council still be subject to EPBC and other environmental laws, especially regarding wedge tailed eagle nests and endangered flora such as the Eucalyptus ovata?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) advised that the Council would be required to satisfy any environmental conditions that would be required for any road reconstruction project that exists within the community. It is not believed that this project will require a planning application and the Council will manage the project like any other in the community. However, if a permit is required, then all conditions would need to be met.

#### Question

Debbie Owens – Approval of Planning Application (11.17)

1. In the matter of the proposed ABx bauxite mine, are Council members able to cast a heartfelt conscience vote that reflects the wishes of the Meander Valley community - yes or no?

Mayor Wayne Johnston advised that that, Councillors when elected, are elected to represent the views of their community, however, when Council sits as a Planning Authority across the State, there are strict guidelines and procedures to be followed. If those procedures were not in place, then we could do what you are asking. In this case, Councillors sit as a Planning Authority and make determinations as individuals.

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) confirmed that if the question is - is it a conscience vote, then the answer is no. It is Councillors acting in their role as a Planning Authority to form a decision that is legally compliant under the requirements of the relevant legislation.

#### Question

Helen Hutchinson – Planning Issues (14.04)

1. Will you write to the appropriate Minister asking that the amendments described above [those relating to consideration in the formation of a new Independent Assessment Panel] be made to the Draft Land Planning and Approvals Act (2025) so that planning decisions are addressing the real concerns of communities?

Mayor Wayne Johnston responded that this concern will be discussed at an upcoming Workshop to consider the matters raised in your question and the concerns of the community.

2. [With regard to heavy vehicle usage on roads], how can the Council allow more trucks to use our roads when they already cause massive damage without paying the real cost of using them?

Mayor Wayne Johnston responded that the question provided a very simple analogy of the amount of weight that goes onto an axle. Across the municipality there are diverse road users, such as agriculturists and the milk industry, so if the question is designed to get less on the roads, how does the community deal with other road users?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) added that there are many other ways in which the use of heavy vehicles are mitigated and from the perspective of the Council, there are many roads within the Municipality where the Council is the Road Authority and the responsibility for maintenance and many other management techniques is maintained in order to provide a safe environment for the community. Additionally, for heavy vehicles there is the NHVR program and local, State and Federal Governments are all involved in the management of heavy vehicle movement throughout the State.

#### Question

Rachael Barley – Decision on ABx Planning Application (19.19)

1. Given the amount of information provided by members of the public, the Mayor and other Officers of the Council, could Council please postpone its decision on the ABx mine application to allow for further consideration and public consultation to identify conditions that better address the community's concerns?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) responded by saying that there have been extensions to this point in time and the applicant has provided extensions. There is not a mechanism to delay the decision and it is expected that a decision on the current Planning Application by ABx will be made today. There has been other opportunities where information relating to the Planning Application has been made public and they stem back to 2023. Information has been publicly available on the Environmental Protection Authority's website and the Council advertised the Planning Application in June 2024. There have been other opportunities where much of the information provided in today's Agenda has been made public. It is appreciated that individual representations have not been made public prior to this Agenda, but the planning team has made an assessment, considered all representations and that will be addressed in today's Agenda and eventual decision.

#### **Question**

Leigh Wasserfall – ABx Planning Application Recommendation

- 1. [Regarding Part 5 of the Agenda Item], how is a one-off infrastructure contribution going to be determined before the design is completed, how can they [ABx] make payment if the design is not complete?
  - Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) responded that the Part 5 (section 71 Agreement) of the legislation can be framed in a number of different ways; it may be percentage based with a maximum cap, so there are other ways other than a dollar value or it may come with different conditions such as the contribution relative to the design is paid. There are many ways that can be constructed, however, the intention in the Recommendation to Council is that the developer is going to fund the majority of the required upgrades and also the design.
- 2. In the financial report for the Council, the proponent and the land owner, what would the amount be in the annual report for contingent liabilities, capital commitments or assets if this application goes ahead and if it is approved? Do you have a range of a commitment that the Council will have?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) responded by saying from a Council perspective no decision has been formed at this point in time as no planning decision has been made. The Council does not have a commitment for the required upgrades for the development and this is being discussed in the Agenda Item later in the Meeting. The Council will need to form a decision around whether a permit condition is going to be approved and Council has not formed any decision on any capital project at this time. However, as with any decision that Council forms related to the expenditure of the community's money, there will be a public and transparent decision made and published accordingly.

#### **Ouestion**

Louise Middleton – ABx Concerns (25.59)

- 1. [With regard to the decision making process associated with this application], do you feel like a possum stuck on the road in the headlights?
  - Wayne Johnston Mayor responded by saying that he [personally] did not. Councillors follow qualified advice, legislation and receive guidance from qualified planners on every application that is presented to Council for decision. Following that, it is up to individual Councillors to make informed decisions.

2. Will Council take into consideration about how will you mitigate the community and the trust in the Council if you vote for this project?

Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) responded by saying that Council will be forming a decision today under Agenda Item 12.1. Council is not utilising the road for political manouevering as the assessment of the road condition is a requirement of that planning assessment and is to be considered by Council. Regarding the environmental impacts it is timely to note that the application with regard to Porters Bridge Road is being assessed as a Level 2 Activity under Clause 5(a) and 6(a) of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act* and what that requires is that the State Government's Environmental Protection Authority has the head of power to make environmental assessments and decision. Those decisions have already been made by the State and will be considered during today's discussion.

#### Question

*Nick van Amstel – Traffic Concerns on Porters Bridge Road (31.56)* 

- 1. Will Council consider having all references to the Temporary Traffic Management Plan removed from the Permit Conditions and proposed infrastructure contribution agreement if Council decides to approve ABx's Planning Application?
  - **Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) answered by saying** that Council will be considering that in the making of their decision today.
- 2. Will the Council be seeking reimbursement from ABx of the costs it has incurred in engaging TCS to properly assess ABx's traffic impacts and mitigation measures and In the event that Council decides to approve ABx's Planning Application will it include the cost of the write-off of the residual value of Porters Bridge Road in ABx's financial contribution to the road upgrade?
  - Jonathan Harmey (General Manager) responded that with regard to the Council's costs regarding the engagement of TCS, that was a two-fold exercise firstly a peer review of the applicant's Traffic Impact Assessment and to assess the conditions of the Planning Application. Also, there was some level of concern raised by the community in their representations so the Council also had an obligation to make sure that the conditions were safe regardless of any application. So, there were two aspects of that engagement and the Council will not be recovering TCS costs from the developer as Council will form its decision today and that was part of the process to ensure that Councillors were afforded all appropriate information to inform deliberations.

As for the write-off costs, that will depend on the final design that would be subject to the project being approved and it will depend on how much write-off there would be. In this case, the condition of the road varies depending on which segment is being considered and construction times have varied. As you are well aware, the seal on the top of the road has a different life span to that of the base and the sub-base. It will depend on how much of the road is removed or what can be re-used. At this point in time, with no decision and no design in place, there is nothing that can be provided regarding write-offs and infrastructure.

#### Question

Sarah Weake – Application Modelling (37.28)

- 1. Has any form of modelling been undertaken to determine costs, not only on Porters Bridge Road, but those associated with peripheral roads, etc?
  - Jonathan Harmey(General Manager) responded that if a decision is formed at today's Meeting and it is what is recommended in the Agenda, there is an obligation on the developer to contribute to the ongoing maintenance as well as the upgrade.
- 2. So, does that mean that the developer will contribute to peripheral road maintenance as well?
  - Jonathan Harmey(General Manager) responded that it is only relating to the area of Porters Bridge Road that has been identified to be required to be upgraded for the development so it does not extend beyond that.

## 10. Councillor Question Time

#### 10.1. Councillors' Questions With Notice

No Councillors' Questions With Notice were considered as part of this Meeting

#### 10.2. Councillors' Questions Without Notice

No Councillors' Questions Without Notice were considered as part of this Meeting

## 11. Councillor Notices of Motion

Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 – Regulation 16

No Notices of Motion were received as part of this Meeting

## 12. Planning Authority Reports

12.1. PA\24\0052 - 328 and 340 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh

Proposal Level 2 Activity – Extractive Industry (Quarry – Bauxite)

**Report Author** Brenton Josey

Town Planner

**Authorised By** Krista Palfreyman

Director Development and Regulatory Services

Robyn Adams spoke against the Recommendation
Nick van Amstel spoke against the Recommendation
Liz Nicholls spoke against the Recommendation
David Maddox spoke against the Recommendation
Linda Wasserfall spoke against the Recommendation
Linda Wasserfall (on behalf of Margaret Graham) spoke against the Recommendation
James Scicluna spoke against the Recommendation
Mark Ulbrich (on behalf of Loakim Katacos) spoke against the Recommendation
Ralph Wayment spoke against the Recommendation
Helen Hutchinson spoke against the Recommendation
Leigh Wasserfall spoke against the Recommendation

During debate an Alternate Motion was foreshadowed.

#### Motion

That Council receives the Agenda Item tabled for PA\24\0052 and resolves to approve the application.

Refer to *Details* below for further specification of Council's decision and any conditions or notes.

#### **Details**

Council must take qualified advice before making a decision and ensure that its reasons for any planning decisions are (a) minuted and (b) based on the Planning Scheme. See *Local Government Act 1993*: section 65, *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*: Regulation 25(2) and *Land Use and Approvals Act 1993*: sections 57-59.

After receiving qualified advice about this planning application and its compliance with the Planning Scheme, Council resolved as follows:

#### Recommendation

This application by Pitt & Sherry obo ABx Group Limited, for a Level 2 Activity - Extractive Industry (Quarry - Bauxite) on land located at 328 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh (CTs 214055/1, 229773/1 and 148606/1), Crown road reserve (x2) and 340 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh (CT 31918/1) is recommended for approval generally in accordance with the Endorsed Plans and recommended Permit Conditions and Permit Notes.

#### **Endorsed Plan**

- a. Pitt & Sherry; Dated 22 May 2024; Report to Support a Planning Permit Application; Rev03; and
- b. Pitt & Sherry; Dated 23 August 2023; Site History Review; Rev00.

#### **Permit Conditions**

#### **PART A**

#### Part 5 Agreement – Infrastructure Contributions

- 1. Prior to the permit taking effect, an agreement pursuant to section 71 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* must be entered into between the Meander Valley Council, the land owner/s of CTs 229773/1, 241055/1 and 148606/1 (the **Owner**) and the operator of the extractive industry (the **Operator**), to provide for the following matters:
  - a) Infrastructure contribution: payment to the Council by the Owner and/or Operator (ie. they are jointly and severally liable) of a one-off reasonable, equitable, proportional financial contribution that is, equal to and applied by the Council exclusively towards, the Council's reasonable costs of the design and construction of upgrades to the section of Porters Bridge Road between Meander Valley Road and the site access (the Road), that are necessary to ensure that the Road can safely bear the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development. Payment of the one-off financial contribution must be made prior to the design and construction works being undertaken by the Council; and
  - b) Maintenance contribution: payment to the Council by the Operator of an annual, ongoing maintenance contribution for the Road, payable for the life of the permit, with the contribution figure to:
    - i. reflect a reasonable, equitable and proportional contribution towards the Council's increased costs of maintaining the Road in light of the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development; and
    - ii. be calculated having regard to the amount of material transported from the site in each financial year; and

c) TTMP Procedure: developing a Temporary Traffic Management Plan Procedure (TTMP Procedure) that temporarily limits the number of heavy vehicle movements on the Road associated with the transport of material from the site, until such time as the Road is upgraded by the Council in accordance with the agreement.

Once executed, the agreement must be lodged with the Recorder and registered on the title to the Lots in accordance with section 78 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*.

The applicant must bear all costs associated with the preparation, execution and registration of the agreement.

- 2. The agreement, pursuant to section 71 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993, required by Condition 1 of this permit must, without limitation, provide for the following matters:
  - a) Use of the Road to transport materials quarried from the site will be limited until the Council has completed the necessary upgrades as follows:
    - i. full operating volumes cannot be transported along the Road unless and until the Council has completed the necessary upgrades; however,
    - ii. reduced volumes can be transported over the Road prior to completion of the necessary upgrades, subject to and in compliance with the TTMP Procedure.
  - b) Subject to the payment of the infrastructure contribution in full, the Council will design and construct the necessary upgrades to the Road within a two-year period from the date this permit is granted.
  - c) The TTMP Procedure: if the Operator wishes to transport quarried material on the Road prior to the necessary upgrades to the Road being completed, it may only do so subject to the following:
    - payment of a financial contribution to the Council equal to the Council's reasonable costs of completing the design work for the necessary upgrades to the road; and
    - ii. the Operator prepares and submits, to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services, a TTMP Procedure which includes but is not limited to:
      - A. the type and number of vehicle movements for the restricted cartage campaign;
      - B. details on the method of traffic management for the restricted cartage campaign; and
      - C. stakeholder engagement including notification periods and the extent of the notification area.

- d) The TTMP Procedure is restricted to:
  - i. a maximum of 78 heavy vehicles movements at the site access per day for each restricted cartage campaign;
  - ii. a maximum period of 28 consecutive calendar days for each restricted cartage campaign;
  - iii. a minimum period of 90 consecutive calendar days between each restricted cartage campaign;
  - iv. a maximum of two restricted cartage campaigns within any consecutive 12-month period; and
  - v. a maximum of four restricted cartage campaigns in total prior to the Council constructing the necessary upgrades to the Road, unless additional restricted cartage campaigns are approved by the Council's Director Infrastructure Services.

#### Financial Contributions for Design and Construction Works

- e) The Owner and/or Operator (ie. they are jointly and severally liable) must pay to the Council a financial contribution in an amount that is reasonable, equitable and proportional, equal to the reasonable costs of the Council designing and constructing the Road generally in accordance with an S4 Rural Road Sealed Standard of the Local Government Association Tasmania (LGAT) Standard Drawings. This includes (but is not limited to):
  - i. 6m seal width;
  - ii. road shoulders;
  - iii. pavement strengthening;
  - iv. sub-surface and road side drainage;
  - v. line marking; and
  - vi. road signage.

#### **Maintenance Levy**

- f) The Operator must pay to the Council an annual road maintenance contribution for the costs of maintenance of the Road including any operations conducted under the TTMP Procedure. The maintenance contribution is to be calculated and levied as per the following:
  - i. within 30 days of the end of each financial year (ie. 30 June) the operator must deliver to the Council's General Manager a report setting out the total volume (expressed in both cubic metres and tonnes) of materials transported from the site in the immediately preceding financial year;
  - ii. following receipt of the report referred to in clause f) i., the Council will calculate the maintenance contribution payable by the Operator to the Council for the relevant financial year;

- iii. within 30 days of receipt of the information in accordance with clause f) i., the Council will issue the Operator with an invoice for the maintenance contribution calculated in accordance with clause e) ii. for the relevant financial year.
- iv. the Operator must pay all invoices issued in accordance with clause f) iii. within 30 days of receipt of the invoice.
- v. the amount of the maintenance contribution will be calculated using the following formula:

#### $C = V \times R$

Where

'V' is the total volume of materials (expressed in tonnes) transported from the site in the immediately preceding financial year;

'R' is the rate (which to reiterate, will reflect a reasonable, equitable and proportional contribution towards the increased costs of maintaining the Road in light of the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development); and 'C' is the amount of the maintenance contribution payable for the immediately preceding financial year.

vi. the 'R' value referred to in the formula will be reviewed and adjusted on 1 June each year to reflect increases to the CPI.

#### **Vehicle Access**

- 3. Detailed engineering design documentation for the upgrade to the existing vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road, must be submitted and approved to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services. The detailed engineering design documentation must be prepared by a suitably qualified civil engineer or other person approved by the Council's Director Infrastructure Services. The design documentation must incorporate the following:
  - a) the upgrade of the existing vehicle access is generally in accordance with the requirements of LGAT Tasmania Standard Drawings R05 taking into consideration the following:
    - i. alignment of the vehicle access with Porters Bridge Road;
    - ii. ensuring that longitudinal grades are aligned to industry best practice for heavy vehicle movements;
  - b) the identification of any vegetation required to be trimmed or removed to achieve sight distances to comply with AS2890.1 (if applicable);
  - c) the vehicle access is sealed for a minimum distance of 14m from the edge of the carriageway (Refer to Note 1); and
  - d) traffic advisory signage.

- **4.** The vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road must be upgraded in accordance with the approved engineering design documentation (Refer to Note 2) and:
  - a) must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services; and
  - b) certification by a suitably qualified person is submitted to the Council stating that the vehicle access has been upgraded and sight distances achieved in accordance with the approved engineering design documentation.
- **5.** Sight distances at the vehicle access must be maintained in accordance with AS2890.1 for the life of the use to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services.

#### **Vehicle Parking Areas**

- **6.** The areas set aside for parking vehicles and access ways must be designed and constructed to the satisfaction of the Council's Town Planner and must:
  - a) provide a minimum of six car parking spaces;
  - b) be designed and constructed to comply with Australian Standard AS2890, Offstreet car parking and AS 2890 Off-street Commercial Vehicle Facilities (where applicable); and
  - c) be line-marked or otherwise delineated to indicate each car space and access ways.

#### Plans to be Submitted

- 7. Plans must be submitted to the Council for approval to the satisfaction of the Council's Town Planner. When approved, these plans will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit. The following plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and submitted:
  - a) a site plan detailing the following:
    - i. parking areas and access ways in accordance with Condition 6;
    - ii. the location of any buildings or structures proposed.
  - b) floor plan of any buildings or structures proposed; and
  - c) elevations of any buildings or structures proposed.

#### **Prior to the Commencement of Works**

- **8.** Prior to the commencement of works the following must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council:
  - a) Engineering design documentation approved in accordance with Condition 3.
  - b) Plans submitted and endorsed in accordance with Condition 7.

#### Prior to the Commencement of the Use

- **9.** Prior to the commencement of the use of the extractive industry, the following must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council:
  - a) The vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road is to be upgraded in accordance with Condition 4.
  - b) The parking areas and vehicle access ways are completed in accordance with Conditions 6 and 7.

#### **Compliance with section 71 Agreement**

**10.** The Operator must comply with its obligations under the Agreement pursuant to section 71 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* required to be entered into by this permit.

#### **Limitations on Heavy Vehicle Movements**

- 11. Heavy vehicles associated with the operation must:
  - a) exit the site turning left on to Porters Bridge Road and travel south along Porters Bridge Road; and
  - b) enter the site with a right turn off Porters Bridge Road having travelled north from Meander Valley Road along Porters Bridge Road to the site entrance.
- 12. The northern extent of Porters Bridge Road and River Road is prohibited for use by heavy vehicles associated with the operation.
- 13. The Operator is permitted to undertake a maximum of 78 heavy vehicle movements on to Porters Bridge Road per day, consisting of 39 movements from the site and 39 movements to the site associated with the transportation of material. Service vehicles are excluded.

#### **Contaminated Land Management**

**14.** The excavation works associated with the use and development must be carried out in accordance with the recommendation of section 7 of the endorsed *Site History Review* completed by a suitably qualified and certified site contamination specialist unless provided for otherwise by the conditions contained below in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B (EPA Board's conditions).

#### **Hazardous Chemicals or Explosives**

- **15.** The on-site storage of hazardous chemicals and explosives are prohibited where it would cause the use to be a hazardous use pursuant to the Bushfire-Prone Areas Code of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (State Planning Provisions) where:
  - a) hazardous chemicals of a manifest quantity are stored on a site (Refer to Note 3); or
  - b) explosives are stored on a site and where classified as an explosive location or large explosive location as specified in the *Explosive Act 2012*.

#### **Environment Protection Authority**

16. The person responsible for the activity must comply with the conditions contained in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B, which the Board of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) requires the planning authority to include in the permit, pursuant to section 25(5) of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994*.

#### **Permit Notes**

- 1. The seal type should be appropriate so that debris does not migrate onto Porters Bridge Road.
- 2. All works in the road reserve to construct the new vehicle crossing must be completed by a suitably qualified contractor using appropriate work health and safety and traffic management processes. Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. It is strongly recommended that the property owner contact the Council to discuss the proposed property access before engaging a contractor for these works. All enquiries should be directed to the Council's Infrastructure Department on 6393 5312.
- 3. Manifest quantities is defined by the Work Health and Safety Regulations 2022.
- 4. This permit requires an agreement to be entered into pursuant to section 71 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, and therefore, will not take effect until the day that the agreement is executed by all parties (see the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, section 53(6)).
- 5. An application for a Plumbing Permit will be required if the operation has an onsite wastewater system servicing the amenities. Please note that an on-site wastewater design report by a suitably qualified person is required to accompany the application.
- 6. Any proposed use of heavy vehicles, that exceed the restrictions for General Access Vehicles, outside of the prescribed Heavy Vehicle Access Routes, will be subject to a separate National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) permit approval. Details relating to Heavy vehicle access maps for Tasmanian roads can be located on Tasmanian Department of State Growth website. It is noted that Porters Bridge Road does not form part of the Heavy Vehicle Access route.
- 7. Any other proposed development or use (including amendments to this proposal) may require separate planning approval. For further information, contact the Council.

- 8. This permit takes effect after:
  - a) The 14-day appeal period expires; or
  - b) Any appeal to the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (TASCAT) is determined or abandoned; or
  - c) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.
- 9. Planning appeals can be lodged with TASCAT Registrar within 14 days of the Council serving notice of its decision on the applicant. For further information, visit the TASCAT website.
- 10. This permit is valid for two years only from the date of approval. It will lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. The Council has discretion to grant an extension by request.
- 11. All permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public may view this permit (including the endorsed documents) at the Council Offices on request.
- 12. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
  - All works to cease within delineated area, sufficient to protect unearthed or possible relics from destruction;
  - b) Presence of a relic must be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania; and
  - c) Relevant approval processes for State and Federal Government agencies will apply.

Moved Councillor Anne-Marie Loader Seconded Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron

Votes For Nil

**Votes Against** 

Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron, Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine Cronshaw

**Motion lost** 

Minute Reference: 069/2025

Councillor Daniel Smedley withdrew from the Meeting at 5.11pm Councillor Daniel Smedley re-attended the Meeting at 5.13pm

#### **Alternate Motion**

This application by Pitt & Sherry obo ABx Group Limited, for a Level 2 Activity - Extractive Industry (Quarry - Bauxite) on land located at 328 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh (CTs 214055/1, 229773/1 and 148606/1), Crown road reserve (x2) and 340 Porters Bridge Road, Reedy Marsh (CT 31918/1) is recommended for approval generally in accordance with the Endorsed Plans and recommended Permit Conditions and Permit Notes.

#### **Endorsed Plan**

- a. Pitt & Sherry; Dated 22 May 2024; Report to Support a Planning Permit Application; Rev03; and
- b. Pitt & Sherry; Dated 23 August 2023; Site History Review; Rev00.

#### **Permit Conditions**

#### **PART A**

#### Part 5 Agreement – Infrastructure Contributions

- 1. Prior to the permit taking effect, an agreement pursuant to section 71 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 must be entered into between the Meander Valley Council, the land owner/s of CTs 229773/1, 241055/1 and 148606/1 (the Owner) and the operator of the extractive industry (the Operator), to provide for the following matters:
  - a) Infrastructure contribution: payment to the Council by the Owner and/or Operator (ie. they are jointly and severally liable) of a one-off reasonable, equitable, proportional financial contribution that is, equal to and applied by the Council exclusively towards, the Council's reasonable costs of the design and construction of upgrades to the section of Porters Bridge Road between Meander Valley Road and the site access (the Road), that are necessary to ensure that the Road can safely bear the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development. Payment of the one-off financial contribution must be made prior to the design and construction works being undertaken by the Council; and
  - b) Maintenance contribution: payment to the Council by the Operator of an annual, ongoing maintenance contribution for the Road, payable for the life of the permit, with the contribution figure to:
    - i. reflect a reasonable, equitable and proportional contribution towards the Council's increased costs of maintaining the Road in light of the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development; and
    - ii. be calculated having regard to the amount of material transported from the site in each financial year.

Once executed, the agreement must be lodged with the Recorder and registered on the title to the Lots in accordance with section 78 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*.

The applicant must bear all costs associated with the preparation, execution and registration of the agreement.

- 2. The agreement, pursuant to section 71 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993, required by Condition 1 of this permit must, without limitation, provide for the following matters:
  - a) Use of the Road to transport materials quarried from the site cannot commence until the Council has completed the necessary upgrades.
  - b) Subject to the payment of the infrastructure contribution in full, the Council will design and construct the necessary upgrades to the Road within a two-year period from the date this permit is granted.

#### **Financial Contributions for Design and Construction Works**

- c) The Owner and/or Operator (ie. they are jointly and severally liable) must pay to the Council a financial contribution in an amount that is reasonable, equitable and proportional, equal to the reasonable costs of the Council designing and constructing the Road generally in accordance with an S4 Rural Road Sealed Standard of the Local Government Association Tasmania (LGAT) Standard Drawings. This includes (but is not limited to):
  - i. 6m seal width;
  - ii. road shoulders;
  - iii. pavement strengthening;
  - iv. sub-surface and road side drainage;
  - v. line marking; and
  - vi. road signage.

#### **Maintenance Levy**

- d) The Operator must pay to the Council an annual road maintenance contribution for the costs of maintenance of the Road. The maintenance contribution is to be calculated and levied as per the following:
  - i. within 30 days of the end of each financial year (ie. 30 June) the operator must deliver to the Council's General Manager a report setting out the total volume (expressed in both cubic metres and tonnes) of materials transported from the site in the immediately preceding financial year;
  - ii. following receipt of the report referred to in clause d) i., the Council will calculate the maintenance contribution payable by the Operator to the Council for the relevant financial year;

- iii. within 30 days of receipt of the information in accordance with clause d) i., the Council will issue the Operator with an invoice for the maintenance contribution calculated in accordance with clause d) ii. for the relevant financial year.
- iv. the Operator must pay all invoices issued in accordance with clause d) iii. within 30 days of receipt of the invoice.
- v. the amount of the maintenance contribution will be calculated using the following formula:

#### $C = V \times R$

Where

'V' is the total volume of materials (expressed in tonnes) transported from the site in the immediately preceding financial year;

'R' is the rate (which to reiterate, will reflect a reasonable, equitable and proportional contribution towards the increased costs of maintaining the Road in light of the additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the approved use and development); and

'C' is the amount of the maintenance contribution payable for the immediately preceding financial year.

vi. the 'R' value referred to in the formula will be reviewed and adjusted on 1 June each year to reflect increases to the CPI.

#### **Vehicle Access**

- 3. Detailed engineering design documentation for the upgrade to the existing vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road, must be submitted and approved to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services. The detailed engineering design documentation must be prepared by a suitably qualified civil engineer or other person approved by the Council's Director Infrastructure Services. The design documentation must incorporate the following:
  - a) the upgrade of the existing vehicle access is generally in accordance with the requirements of LGAT Tasmania Standard Drawings R05 taking into consideration the following:
    - i. alignment of the vehicle access with Porters Bridge Road;
    - ii. ensuring that longitudinal grades are aligned to industry best practice for heavy vehicle movements;
  - b) the identification of any vegetation required to be trimmed or removed to achieve sight distances to comply with AS2890.1 (if applicable);
  - c) the vehicle access is sealed for a minimum distance of 14m from the edge of the carriageway (Refer to Note 1); and
  - d) traffic advisory signage.

- **4.** The vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road must be upgraded in accordance with the approved engineering design documentation (Refer to Note 2) and:
  - a) must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services; and
  - b) certification by a suitably qualified person is submitted to the Council stating that the vehicle access has been upgraded and sight distances achieved in accordance with the approved engineering design documentation.
- 5. Sight distances at the vehicle access must be maintained in accordance with AS2890.1 for the life of the use to the satisfaction of the Council's Director Infrastructure Services.

#### **Vehicle Parking Areas**

- 6. The areas set aside for parking vehicles and access ways must be designed and constructed to the satisfaction of the Council's Town Planner and must:
  - a) provide a minimum of six car parking spaces;
  - b) be designed and constructed to comply with Australian Standard AS2890, Offstreet car parking and AS 2890 Off-street Commercial Vehicle Facilities (where applicable); and
  - c) be line-marked or otherwise delineated to indicate each car space and access ways.

#### Plans to be Submitted

- 7. Plans must be submitted to the Council for approval to the satisfaction of the Council's Town Planner. When approved, these plans will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit. The following plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and submitted:
  - a) a site plan detailing the following:
    - i. parking areas and access ways in accordance with Condition 6;
    - ii. the location of any buildings or structures proposed.
  - b) floor plan of any buildings or structures proposed; and
  - c) elevations of any buildings or structures proposed.

#### **Prior to the Commencement of Works**

- **8.** Prior to the commencement of works the following must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council:
  - a) Engineering design documentation approved in accordance with Condition 3.
  - b) Plans submitted and endorsed in accordance with Condition 7.

#### Prior to the Commencement of the Use

- 9. Prior to the commencement of the use of the extractive industry, the following must be completed to the satisfaction of the Council:
  - a) The vehicle access onto Porters Bridge Road is to be upgraded in accordance with Condition 4.
  - b) The parking areas and vehicle access ways are completed in accordance with Conditions 6 and 7.

#### **Compliance with section 71 Agreement**

**10.** The Operator must comply with its obligations under the Agreement pursuant to section 71 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* required to be entered into by this permit.

#### **Limitations on Heavy Vehicle Movements**

- 11. Heavy vehicles associated with the operation must:
  - a) exit the site turning left on to Porters Bridge Road and travel south along Porters Bridge Road; and
  - b) enter the site with a right turn off Porters Bridge Road having travelled north from Meander Valley Road along Porters Bridge Road to the site entrance.
- 12. The northern extent of Porters Bridge Road and River Road is prohibited for use by heavy vehicles associated with the operation.
- 13. The Operator is permitted to undertake a maximum of 78 heavy vehicle movements on to Porters Bridge Road per day, consisting of 39 movements from the site and 39 movements to the site associated with the transportation of material. Service vehicles are excluded.

#### **Contaminated Land Management**

14. The excavation works associated with the use and development must be carried out in accordance with the recommendation of section 7 of the endorsed *Site History Review* completed by a suitably qualified and certified site contamination specialist unless provided for otherwise by the conditions contained below in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B (EPA Board's conditions).

#### **Hazardous Chemicals or Explosives**

- **15.** The on-site storage of hazardous chemicals and explosives are prohibited where it would cause the use to be a hazardous use pursuant to the Bushfire-Prone Areas Code of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme (State Planning Provisions) where:
  - a) hazardous chemicals of a manifest quantity are stored on a site (Refer to Note 3); or
  - b) explosives are stored on a site and where classified as an explosive location or large explosive location as specified in the *Explosive Act 2012*.

#### **Environment Protection Authority**

16. The person responsible for the activity must comply with the conditions contained in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B, which the Board of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) requires the planning authority to include in the permit, pursuant to section 25(5) of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994*.

#### **Permit Notes**

- 1. The seal type should be appropriate so that debris does not migrate onto Porters Bridge Road.
- 2. All works in the road reserve to construct the new vehicle crossing must be completed by a suitably qualified contractor using appropriate work health and safety and traffic management processes. Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. It is strongly recommended that the property owner contact the Council to discuss the proposed property access before engaging a contractor for these works. All enquiries should be directed to the Council's Infrastructure Department on 6393 5312.
- 3. Manifest quantities is defined by the Work Health and Safety Regulations 2022.
- 4. This permit requires an agreement to be entered into pursuant to section 71 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, and therefore, will not take effect until the day that the agreement is executed by all parties (see the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, section 53(6)).
- 5. An application for a Plumbing Permit will be required if the operation has an on-site wastewater system servicing the amenities. Please note that an on-site wastewater design report by a suitably qualified person is required to accompany the application.
- 6. Any proposed use of heavy vehicles, that exceed the restrictions for General Access Vehicles, outside of the prescribed Heavy Vehicle Access Routes, will be subject to a separate National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) permit approval. Details relating to Heavy vehicle access maps for Tasmanian roads can be located on Tasmanian Department of State Growth website. It is noted that Porters Bridge Road does not form part of the Heavy Vehicle Access route.
- 7. Any other proposed development or use (including amendments to this proposal) may require separate planning approval. For further information, contact the Council.

- **8.** This permit takes effect after:
  - a) The 14-day appeal period expires; or
  - b) Any appeal to the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (TASCAT) is determined or abandoned; or
  - c) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.
- **9.** Planning appeals can be lodged with TASCAT Registrar within 14 days of the Council serving notice of its decision on the applicant. For further information, visit the TASCAT website.
- 10. This permit is valid for two years only from the date of approval. It will lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. The Council has discretion to grant an extension by request.
- 11. All permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public may view this permit (including the endorsed documents) at the Council Offices on request.
- 12. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
  - a) All works to cease within delineated area, sufficient to protect unearthed or possible relics from destruction;
  - b) Presence of a relic must be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania; and
  - c) Relevant approval processes for State and Federal Government agencies will apply.

Moved Councillor Ben Dudman Seconded Councillor Kevin House

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor

Rodney Synfield and Councillor Daniel Smedley

Votes Against Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor John Temple and

Councillor Christine Cronshaw

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 070/2025

## 13. Development and Regulatory Services

#### 13.1. 2025-26 Animal Management Fees and Charges

File Reference S01-01-002

**Report Author** Wade Hateley

Team Leader Compliance and Regulation

**Authorised By** Krista Palfreyman

Director Development and Regulatory Services

#### **Motion**

That Council, pursuant to section 205 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, adopts the animal management fees and charges for the 2025-26 financial year as listed below:

Registration	Regular Fee	If paid by
	(*GST inclusive)	31 July 2025
Lifetime Domestic Dog not Desexed	\$460.00	Not Applicable
Lifetime Domestic Dog Desexed	\$160.00	Not Applicable
Domestic Dog not Desexed	\$80.00	\$60.00
Domestic Dog Desexed	\$31.00	\$21.00
Working/Hunting Dog (on production of	\$31.00	\$21.00
suitable evidence by applicant)		
Racing Greyhound (on production of	\$31.00	\$21.00
suitable evidence of registration with		
TGRB)		
Purebred (for breeding on production of	\$52.00	\$41.00
suitable evidence of registration with TCA)		
Pensioner's Dog (one dog per pension	\$31.00	\$21.00
card)		
Guide Dog/Hearing Dog/Assistance Dog	Nil	Nil
(on production of suitable evidence by		
applicant)		
Dangerous Dog Initial Registration	\$620.00	Not Applicable
Dangerous Dog Ongoing Registration	\$315.00	Not Applicable
Guard Dog	\$80.00	\$60.00
New annual registration applications may receive a 50% discount if received after		
March 2026 subject to approval (only applicable where a dog was not required to		
be registered prior to 1 March 2026).		
Transfer of annual renewal registration from	\$0.00	
Council (on provision of proof of current reg		

Other	Fee
	(*GST inclusive)
Renewal of Kennel Licence	\$58.00
New Kennel Licence Application	\$157.00
Fee to make a nuisance dog complaint	\$35.00
Dangerous Dog Collar	\$65.00*
Dangerous Dog Sign	\$73.00*
Microchipping Fee	\$54.00
Impounding Fee	\$64.00
Impounding Fee – second time and subsequent occasions	\$105.00
Daily Maintenance Fee – weekday	\$31.00*
Daily Maintenance Fee – weekend	\$70.00*
Replacement Lifetime Tag	\$10.00

Moved Councillor Anne-Marie Loader

Seconded Councillor Ben Dudman

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine

Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 071/2025

## 13.2. 2025-26 Environmental Health Fees and Charges

File Reference S12-03-001

**Report Author** Madeline McKinnell

Team Leader Environmental Health

**Authorised By** Krista Palfreyman

Director Development and Regulatory Services

#### Motion

That Council, pursuant to section 205 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, adopts the environmental health fees and charges for the 2025-26 financial year as listed below:

Food Business Annual Registration/Renewal of Registration	Fees/Charges	
(Except for bona fide not for profit organisations)	(*GST inclusive)	
P3	\$72.00	
P1 and P2	\$214.00	
New food business annual registration applications and renewals may receive pro-		
rata discount subject to approval of Director Development and Re	gulatory Services.	
Late fee if application for renewal not received by 31 July 2025	\$64.00	
Additional inspections due to non-compliance	\$146.00*	
Temporary Food Stall Registration (Except for bona fide not	Fees/Charges	
for profit organisations)	(*GST inclusive)	
One day event	\$44.00	
Two-day event	\$62.00	
Three-to-five-day event	\$88.00	
Late fee if not received before event	\$52.00	
Public Health	Fees/Charges	
	(*GST inclusive)	
Place of Assembly Licence – Public events, 1 day	\$92.00	
Place of Assembly Licence – Public events, greater than 1 day	\$291.00	
Registration of Private Water Supplier/Water Carrier	\$123.00	
Other <i>Public Health Act 1997</i> Licencing/Registration including:		
Public Health Risk Activity Operator Licence, or	\$123.00	
Registration of Regulated System		
Request for inspection and written reports on food premises for prospective purchasers	\$146.00*	

Moved Councillor Daniel Smedley Seconded Councillor Kevin House

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine

Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 072/2025

## 14. Corporate Services

14.1. Audit Panel Minutes - 25 March 2025

File Reference S13-12-013

**Report Author** Anthea Rooney

Governance Officer

**Authorised By** Craig Davies

**Director Corporate Services** 

#### Motion

That Council receives the Minutes of the Audit Panel Meeting held on 25 March 2025 as provided (Attachment 1).

Moved Councillor Ben Dudman

**Seconded** Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple,

Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 073/2025

## 15. Infrastructure Services

15.1. Lease - Prospect Vale Sports Park

File Reference S20-01-004

**Report Author** Karl Boss-Walker

Team Leader Community Facilities and Recreation

**Authorised By** David Murray

Director Infrastructure Services

Councillor Daniel Smedley withdrew from the Meeting at 5.32pm

#### Motion

That Council, by absolute majority, pursuant to section 179 of the *Local Government Act 1993*:

1. leases the Ray Johnstone Centre clubroom site (site map below) making up a portion of the property at 2 Harley Parade, Prospect Vale – CT 143138/1, to Prospect Park Sports Club ABN 29095938602.



2. notes that section 178 of the *Local Government Act 1993* will not apply as the lease is for a period less than five years.

- 3. authorises the General Manager to enter into a formal lease under the following terms:
  - a. the term shall be for two years;
  - b. with a further option of two years by mutual agreement;
  - c. the lease amount shall be \$8,000 per annum (including GST) (plus CPI) and selected outgoings (electricity);
  - d. tenant shall continuously maintain:
    - buildings in good and reasonable order in accordance with responsibilities detailed in the lease; and
    - ii. public liability insurance of at least \$20 million.
  - e. all remaining terms to be determined by the General Manager.
  - f. any right, option or discretion exercised by the Council under the lease may be exercised by the General Manager.

**Moved** Councillor Ben Dudman

**Seconded** Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine Cropshaw

Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by absolute majority

Minute Reference: 074/2025

Councillor Daniel Smedley re-attended the Meeting at 5.34pm

#### 15.2. 2025-26 Deloraine Waste Facility (Weighbridge Pricing)

File Reference S12-02-002

**Report Author** Craig Davies

**Director Corporate Services** 

**Authorised By** Jonathan Harmey

General Manager

Councillor Ben Dudman withdrew from the Meeting at 5.43pm Councillor Ben Dudman re-attended the Meeting at 5.44pm

#### Motion

That Council, pursuant to section 205 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, adopts the Deloraine Waste Facility weighbridge fees and charges for the 2025-26 financial year as listed below:

#### **Proposed Pricing Model (inc. GST)**

General Waste Minimum Charge <40kg	\$7.20
General Waste per Tonne (40kg or more)	\$180.00
Green Waste Minimum Charge <40kg	\$5.40
Green Waste per Tonne (40kg or more)	\$135.00

**Moved** Councillor Ben Dudman

**Seconded** Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor

**Christine Cronshaw** 

**Votes Against** Councillor Rodney Synfield and Councillor John Temple

Motion carried by simple majority

Minute Reference: 075/2025

## 16. Motion to Close Meeting

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 15(1)

#### Recommendation

That Council, by absolute majority, pursuant to the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, closes the Meeting to the public for discussion of the Agenda Items listed below:

#### **16.1 Confirmation of Closed Minutes**

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 34(2)

#### 16.2 Leave of Absence Applications

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 15(2)(h) applications by Councillors for a leave of absence

#### 16.3 2025-26 Capital Works Program Project Approval

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 15(2) (d) regarding contracts, and tenders, for the supply of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.

#### 16.4 Visit Northern Tasmania Membership Agreement

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 15(2) (d) regarding contracts, and tenders, for the supply of goods and services and their terms, conditions, approval and renewal.

#### 16.5 End of Closed Session and Release of Public Information

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: Regulation 15(8) To be determined in Closed Council.

Moved Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron Seconded Councillor Anne-Marie Loader

Votes For Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy Mayor Stephanie Cameron,

Councillor Ben Dudman, Councillor Kevin House, Councillor Anne-Marie Loader, Councillor Rodney Synfield, Councillor John Temple, Councillor Daniel Smedley and Councillor Christine

Cronshaw

Votes Against Nil

Motion carried by absolute majority

Minute Reference: 076/2025

Council moved out of Ordinary Session at 5.42pm Council moved into Closed Session at 5.51pm Council returned to Ordinary Session at 6.14pm

## 17. End of Closed Session and Release of Public Information

#### 17.1 End of Closed Session and Release of Public Information

Refer to Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015: section 15(8).

Council, pursuant to Regulation 34(1)(b) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, resolves to report in Open Session that it has considered the following matters in Closed Session:

Minutes Matter Item		Brief Description and Release of Public Information	
16.1	Closed Council Minutes – 8 April 2025	No information to be released to the public.	
16.2	Councillors' Leave of Absence Applications	None considered at this Meeting.	
16.3	2025-26 Capital Works Program Project Approval	Information not to be released to the public.	
16.4	Visit Northern Tasmania Membership Agreement	Information regarding the Council's continuing membership of Visit Northern Tasmania to be released to the public.	

## 18. Close of Meeting

Mayor Wayne Johnston closed the Meeting at 6.15pm.

•	ayne Johi	nston	••••••	
Chairpers	son			
 Date				