



Meander Valley Council  
Working Together

# **ORDINARY MINUTES**

**COUNCIL MEETING**

**Tuesday 8 February 2022**

## Table of Contents

17/2022	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF COUNTRY .....	3
18/2022	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES .....	4
19/2022	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING .....	4
20/2022	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR .....	4
21/2022	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNCILLORS.....	4
22/2022	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST .....	5
23/2022	TABLING AND ACTION ON PETITIONS.....	5
24/2022	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	6
25/2022	COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME.....	10
26/2022	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC .....	11
27/2022	190 PORTERS BRIDGE ROAD, EXTON.....	12
28/2022	CONFIRMATION OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING MINUTES.....	17
29/2022	COUNCILLOR CODE OF CONDUCT REVIEW – MINISTERIAL RESPONSE .....	18
30/2022	LOCAL GOVERNMENT CODE OF CONDUCT DETERMINATION REPORT .....	20
 <b>ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:</b>		
31/2022	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES .....	21
32/2022	LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	21
33/2022	INTRODUCTION OF KERBSIDE WASTE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION SERVICES .....	21
34/2022	CONTRACT NO 233-2021-22 – DELORAINE RECREATION GROUND – INSTALLATION OF AFL SPORTS LIGHTING.....	22
35/2022	GENERAL MANAGER’S PERFORMANCE REVIEW.....	22

Minutes for the Ordinary Meeting of the Meander Valley Council held at the Council Chambers Meeting Room, 26 Lyall Street, Westbury, on Tuesday 8 February 2022 at 3.00pm.

**PRESENT**

Chairperson Mayor Wayne Johnston, Councillors Stephanie Cameron, Michal Frydrych, Tanya King, Andrew Sherriff, Rodney Synfield, John Temple and Deborah White.

**APOLOGIES**

Deputy Mayor Michael Kelly

**IN ATTENDANCE**

John Jordan, General Manager  
Chloe Durack, Executive Assistant  
Krista Palfreyman, Director Development & Regulatory Services  
Melissa Lewarn, Director Community Wellbeing  
Dino De Paoli, Director Infrastructure Services  
Jonathan Harmey, Director Corporate Services  
Jacqui Parker, Manager, Governance and Performance  
Natasha Whiteley, Team Leader Town Planning  
Heidi Goess, Consultant Town Planner

**17/2022 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF COUNTRY**

Mayor Johnston gave the following acknowledgment of country:

“We acknowledge the Pallitore and Panninher past peoples and the Traditional Owners and Custodians of the land on which we gather today, and pay our respects to Elders past and present. I extend that respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island peoples here today.”

## 18/2022 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Councillor White moved and Councillor Sherriff seconded, ***“that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on Tuesday 18 January 2022, be received and confirmed.”***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, King, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.***

## 19/2022 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING

Date	Items discussed:
1 February 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• General Manager’s Performance Review</li><li>• Rural Kerbside Waste &amp; Recycling Collection</li><li>• Review of 2020-21 Capital Works Program</li><li>• Annual Hard Waste Collection Service</li></ul>

## 20/2022 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

**20 January 2022**

Tamar Estuary Management Taskforce (TEMT) Briefing

**26 January 2022**

Australia Day Citizenship Ceremony

**28 January 2022**

COVID Regional Recovery Committee (CRRC) Joint Chairs Catch-Up

**1 February 2022**

Council Workshop

**3 February 2022**

TasWater Quarterly Briefing

## 21/2022 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNCILLORS

Nil

## **22/2022 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Mayor Wayne Johnston and Councillors Stephanie Cameron, Tanya King, Andrew Sherriff and Rodney Synfield each declared an interest in relation to:

- Planning Authority 1: 190 Porters Bridge Road, Exton

The General Manager advised the meeting that, with the exception of Mayor Johnston, he did not consider the declarations to be of a kind that would warrant leaving Chambers during decision-making for Planning Authority 1, as the disclosures suggest retail purchasing or connections via a third party.

## **23/2022 TABLING AND ACTION ON PETITIONS**

Nil

## 24/2022 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

### 1. PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – JANUARY 2022

Nil

### 2. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2022

#### 2.1 Linda Poulton, Westbury

- (a) Does Council have a policy on the circumstances in which it will fund the legal costs of an employee or individual Councillor?

**Response from John Jordan, General Manager:**

***It is appropriate that any decision about Council's liability for a cost of this nature be made on a case-by-case basis, upon receipt of external legal advice as required.***

- (b) If there is no policy, how does the Council form a view on whether to fund the legal costs of an employee or individual Councillor?

**Response from John Jordan, General Manager:**

***As noted above, it is appropriate that any decision about Council's liability for a cost of this nature be made on a case-by-case basis, upon receipt of external legal advice as required.***

#### 2.2 Christina Macrow, Deloraine

- a) After years of concern around the nuisance and invasive species of peacocks, what is Council's intention on how to best manage this invasive species which creates a nuisance, and what timeframe is Council looking at to ensure this invasive species does not create a nuisance in any of our townships in the Meander Valley Municipality?

**Response from Krista Palfreyman, Director Development and Regulatory Services:**

***Council has worked to assist Ms Macrow with one specific complaint regarding allegations of nuisance peacocks. As well as engaging directly regarding the complaint, Council has offered its position in writing, and completed an investigation into the alleged nuisance.***

***The Department of Natural Resources and Environment (NRE Tas) has general responsibility for invasive species within Tasmania. Peacocks are not listed as an invasive species under current legislation and therefore NRE Tas does not assume a direct role in managing wild peacock populations on private land. NRE Tas has general responsibility for wild animals present on state-owned public land.***

***Council's long established position is to manage wild peacocks identically to any other wild animal. That is, available Council resources may be allocated to control wild animal numbers only when they are present on either Council-owned or Council-managed land where nuisance levels (as described by legislation) necessitate action.***

***Where peacocks are owned or kept by a specific landholder, and if there is sufficient evidence of both ownership and nuisance, Council may investigate and consider compliance action regarding the alleged nuisance. It is important to highlight that any compliance action Council may take against an individual will only be invoked (as a matter of fairness, law and good governance) if there is a suitably robust evidence base to justify doing so.***

- b) I have also advised Council of the simple step of introducing a poultry policy to include peacocks and peafowl. Will this be implemented?

***Response from Krista Palfreyman, Director Development and Regulatory Services:***

***There is currently no written policy or by-law in the Meander Valley municipality related to poultry ownership. Ultimately, that is a matter for Councillors as elected representatives to consider from a policy perspective. However, it is noted that a poultry policy would attract the same set of principles and limitations as Council's enforcement of nuisance complaints. That is, Council must have appropriate, reliable evidence that can be tested and proved in Court if necessary before using its statutory powers to undertake compliance action against any private citizen.***

### **3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2022**

#### **3.1 James Redgrave, Deloraine**

- a) Council has no policy on poultry or livestock yet its website states: "Prior to obtaining the animal, check with Council to ensure you are allowed to keep the animal and you can adequately cater to its needs." Being one of the largest rural Councils in Australia it is quite amazing there is no policy around livestock or poultry considering all but Prospect of our municipality is rural and we would have millions of livestock and poultry within our boundaries. There has been many nuisance and health issues raised in the last few years in regards to poultry and livestock and it's not good enough for Council to simply say what is written on its

website. The ratepayers and residents of the whole of Meander Valley deserve better! When is Council going to bring us into the modern world and simply adopt another well-constructed policy by many Councils on poultry and livestock within Tasmania or Australia? It's a very simple process and allows all of us some certainty around these issues. The website in its current existence needs clarification, which can be simply done by policy.

***Response from Krista Palfreyman, Director Development & Regulatory Services:***

***Council currently manage any nuisance relating to the keeping of poultry or livestock under the provisions of Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994 and the Local Government Act 1993. Our website provides some generalist advice to landholders about keeping livestock, including the statement referenced above. While Council does not monitor or control livestock ownership in the municipality, we do assist residents to understand their obligations with regard to issues like nuisance or stray livestock. I will organise a review of our website content to ensure that position is clear.***

***There is currently no written policy or by-law in the Meander Valley municipality related to poultry or livestock ownership. Ultimately, that is a matter for Councillors as elected representatives to consider from a policy perspective. However, it is noted that a policy would attract the same set of principles and limitation as Council's enforcement of nuisance complaints. That is, Council must have appropriate, reliable evidence that can be tested and proved in court if necessary before using its statutory powers to undertake compliance action against any private citizen.***

- b) There is a rumour going around that Council may have at a waste facility placed the wrong material down and has had to at considerable cost remove the material and replace it with the appropriate material! Can Council please clarify if this rumour is true or not as it was stated that the cost maybe in excess of \$750,000:00 which is a considerable adjustment to the budget of MVC and if true this should be in the public arena with how, why and what measures are now in place to stop this kind of wasted ratepayers dollars being misused to cover up.

***Response from Dino De Paoli, Director Infrastructure Services:***

***Council contacted Mr Redgrave to understand the context to the rumour noted in the question above. The question is in relation to construction of the new landfill cell area at the Cluan landfill site. Council's budget for the cell expansion at Cluan was \$430,800. Whilst there was additional gravel material imported to the site to complete the cell liner, and waste from the adjacent cell moved into the new cell to***



***provide additional protection and a working face for tipping, the final project costs were within the approved budget. Costs incurred against the project to date are \$396,358.***

***It is noted that capital works projects with costs over \$20,000 are listed in Council's annual report, together with the value of contracts and supplier details for other projects over \$100,000 in value.***

## 25/2022 COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

### 1. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – JANUARY 2022

Nil

### 2. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2022

Nil

### 3. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – FEBRUARY 2022

#### 3.1 Councillor John Temple

(a) Is there any update on the proposed prison since the last Council meeting?

***Response from John Jordan, General Manager:***

***Only the advice from the state government in relation to their public information sessions.***

(b) Around this time last year, we received a report on Council's actions concerning Climate Change for the previous year. It was also planned to have a workshop agenda item on this matter which doesn't seem to have taken place. What are our plans regarding Climate Change for this year and will we be considering involvement with the SBTi [the Science Based Targets Initiative, which is where a lot of the large companies in the world are heading at the moment, for referencing what they could be doing to help?.

***Response from John Jordan, General Manager:***

***As discussed previously at Workshop, we are looking at a report on the climate change circumstance and what possible things Council could consider doing in the March Workshop. We are also working with other northern regional councils in terms of joint funding, and with University of Tasmania regarding research in terms of the northern Tasmanian impacts of climate change. Councillors are always free to put a motion up on any initiative and present that to Council.***

(c) It currently seems that the primary criterion for the style of residential development within the Meander Valley in particular, and Tasmania in general, is the maximum yield for the developer. What will be the future population of our various villages and towns in the Meander Valley based on this criterion?

***Response from John Jordan, General Manager:***

***Councillor, I don't have the information to answer such a speculative question.***

(d) Late last year Council conducted a survey of Carrick residents and ratepayers. When will the results of that survey become known?

***Response from John Jordan, General Manager:***

***The survey results are being tabulated at the moment and we are anticipating that they will come to Workshop on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of February.***

## **26/2022 DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

Nil

Mayor Johnston declared the meeting had transitioned to act as a Planning Authority under the provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

Mayor Wayne Johnston left the meeting at 3.14pm.

In the absence of the Mayor and Deputy-Mayor, Councillor White nominated Councillor King for election as Chairperson. Councillor King accepted the nomination.

Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, King, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voted in favour of electing Councillor King as Chairperson.

## **27/2022 190 PORTERS BRIDGE ROAD, EXTON**

The Chairperson invited representors William Arthur and Nigel Bedford to address the meeting.

**Planning Application:** PA\21\0267  
**Proposal:** Extractive Industry (Level 2 Activity – Quarry & Material Handling) – traffic generation  
**Author:** Heidi Goess  
Consultant Town Planner

---

### **1) Recommendation**

***It is recommended that the application for Extractive Industry (Level 2 Activity – Quarry & Material Handling) on land located at 190 Porters Bridge Road, Exton (CT: 157328/1, 157328/2, 157328/3, 157328/4, 157328/5, 39477/1, Reserve Road), by Walters Contracting Pty Ltd, be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans:***

- a) **Van Diemen Consulting, Dated 2 September 2021, Porters Bridge Road Quarry, Exton Environmental Effects Report and Planning Information;**
- b) **Traffic & Civil Services; Dated: April 2021; Traffic Impact Assessment: 2097/PM Quarry, Porters Bridge Road, Exton; and**
- c) **Takarri Engineering, dated September 2021, Porters Bridge Road Quarry environmental noise, ground vibration and air blast overpressure assessment.**

***and subject to the following conditions in PART A:***

## **PART A**

- 1. Prior to the commencement of use, the following must be completed to the satisfaction of Council's Director of Infrastructure Services:**
  - a) The existing driveway access must be constructed and sealed in accordance with Tasmanian Standard drawings TSD-R04 and R05. Refer Note 1.**
  - b) Truck entering (W5-22B) warning signs are to be erected on both Porters Bridge Road approaches 300m in advance of the quarry access in accordance with the findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment. Refer Note 1.**
  - c) The vegetation in the vicinity of the bridge obscuring site distance to the South of the existing access must be cleared as per the findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment.**
- 2. The developer responsible for the activity must comply with the conditions contained in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B, which the Board of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) requires the planning authority to include in the permit, pursuant to section 25(5) of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994* (attached).**

### **Notes:**

- 1. Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. All enquiries should be directed to Council's Infrastructure Department on (03) 6393 5312.**
- 2. Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Development and Regulatory Services on 6393 5320 or via email: [mail@mvc.tas.gov.au](mailto:mail@mvc.tas.gov.au).**
- 3. This permit takes effect after:**
  - (a) The 14 day appeal period expires; or**
  - (b) Any appeal to the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or**
  - (c) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.**

4. A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a Notice of Appeal with the Registry of the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal. An appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant. For more information see the Resource and Planning Stream of Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal website [www.tascat.tas.gov.au/resource-and-planning/home](http://www.tascat.tas.gov.au/resource-and-planning/home).
5. This permit is valid for two years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.
6. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
7. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
  - (a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction;
  - (b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania) Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: [aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au](mailto:aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au); and
  - (c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

## DECISION:

Cr Cameron moved and Cr Sherriff seconded ***“that the application for Extractive Industry (Level 2 Activity – Quarry & Material Handling) on land located at 190 Porters Bridge Road, Exton (CT: 157328/1, 157328/2, 157328/3, 157328/4, 157328/5, 39477/1, Reserve Road), by Walters Contracting Pty Ltd, be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans:***

- a) **Van Diemen Consulting, Dated 2 September 2021, Porters Bridge Road Quarry, Exton Environmental Effects Report and Planning Information;**
- b) **Traffic & Civil Services; Dated: April 2021; Traffic Impact Assessment: 2097/PM Quarry, Porters Bridge Road, Exton; and**
- c) **Takarri Engineering, dated September 2021, Porters Bridge Road Quarry environmental noise, ground vibration and air blast overpressure assessment.**

**and subject to the following conditions in PART A:**

**PART A**

- 1. Prior to the commencement of use, the following must be completed to the satisfaction of Council's Director of Infrastructure Services:**
  - a) The existing driveway access must be constructed and sealed in accordance with Tasmanian Standard drawings TSD-R04 and R05. Refer Note 1.**
  - b) Truck entering (W5-22B) warning signs are to be erected on both Porters Bridge Road approaches 300m in advance of the quarry access in accordance with the findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment. Refer Note 1.**
  - c) The vegetation in the vicinity of the bridge obscuring site distance to the South of the existing access must be cleared as per the findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment.**
- 2. The developer responsible for the activity must comply with the conditions contained in Schedule 2 of Permit Part B, which the Board of the Environment Protection Authority (EPA) requires the planning authority to include in the permit, pursuant to section 25(5) of the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994* (attached).**

**Notes:**

- 1. Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. All enquiries should be directed to Council's Infrastructure Department on (03) 6393 5312.**
- 2. Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Development and Regulatory Services on 6393 5320 or via email: [mail@mvc.tas.gov.au](mailto:mail@mvc.tas.gov.au).**
- 3. This permit takes effect after:  
(d) The 14 day appeal period expires; or**

- (e) Any appeal to the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or.
  - (f) Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.
4. A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a Notice of Appeal with the Registry of the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal. An appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant. For more information see the Resource and Planning Stream of Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal website [www.tascat.tas.gov.au/resource-and-planning/home](http://www.tascat.tas.gov.au/resource-and-planning/home).
5. This permit is valid for two years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.
6. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
7. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
- (a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction;
  - (b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania) Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: [aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au](mailto:aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au); and
  - (c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, King, Sherriff, Synfield and White voting for the motion and Councillor Temple voting against the motion.***

Mayor Johnston returned to the meeting at 3.46pm.

Councillor King vacated her role as Chairperson of the meeting.

Mayor Johnston resumed his role as Chairperson of the meeting.



## 28/2022 CONFIRMATION OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING MINUTES

**AUTHOR:** John Jordan  
General Manager

---

### 1) Recommendation

***It is recommended that the minutes of Council's Annual General Meeting held on Tuesday 14 December 2021, be received and confirmed.***

### DECISION:

Cr White moved and Cr King seconded ***"that the minutes of Council's Annual General Meeting held on Tuesday 14 December 2021, be received and confirmed."***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, King, Sherriff and White voting for the motion.***

***Councillor Synfield and Temple abstained from the vote.***

Pursuant to section 28(3) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, to abstain is to vote in the negative.

# 29/2022 COUNCILLOR CODE OF CONDUCT REVIEW – MINISTERIAL RESPONSE

**AUTHOR:** Jacqui Parker  
Manager, Governance & Performance

---

## 1) Recommendation

***It is recommended that Council:***

- (a) Receives and notes correspondence from the Minister for Local Government and Planning dated 24 December 2021, advising that Council's proposed amendment of its Councillor Code of Conduct is not supported by the Minister who favours a sector wide approach;***
- (b) Write to the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) requesting support and advocacy for a sector wide review of the Councillor Code of Conduct to address the amendments proposed by Meander Valley Council;***
- (c) Approve the development of a motion to be tabled at a LGAT General Meeting (if necessary) advocating for both the workplace cultural review and for a more immediate overhaul to the existing Model Code of Conduct.***

## DECISION:

Cr King moved and Cr Sherriff seconded ***“that Council:***

- (a) Receives and notes correspondence from the Minister for Local Government and Planning dated 24 December 2021, advising that Council's proposed amendment of its Councillor Code of Conduct is not supported by the Minister who favours a sector wide approach;***
- (b) Write to the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) requesting support and advocacy for a sector wide review of the Councillor Code of Conduct to address the amendments proposed by Meander Valley Council;***

***(c) Approve the development of a motion to be tabled at a LGAT General Meeting (if necessary) advocating for both the workplace cultural review and for a more immediate overhaul to the existing Model Code of Conduct.”***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, King, Sherriff and White voting for the motion and Councillors Synfield & Temple voting against the motion.***

# 30/2022 LOCAL GOVERNMENT CODE OF CONDUCT DETERMINATION REPORT

**AUTHOR:** Jacqui Parker  
Manager, Governance & Performance

---

## 1) Recommendation

***It is recommended that Council receives the Local Government Code of Conduct Panel Determination Report dated 18 January 2022, which dismisses a complaint against Mayor Wayne Johnston brought by Ms Sharon Webb.***

## DECISION:

Cr Sherriff moved and Cr White seconded ***“that Council receives the Local Government Code of Conduct Panel Determination Report dated 18 January 2022, which dismisses a complaint against Mayor Wayne Johnston brought by Ms Sharon Webb.”***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, King, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.***

## **ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:**

### **Motion to close the meeting**

Cr White moved and Cr Sherriff seconded ***“that pursuant to Regulation 15(1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the meeting is closed to the public to discussed matters that fall within the circumstances prescribed in regulation 15(2).”***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, King, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.***

### **Voting Requirements**

Absolute Majority

### **Actions and Statement from the Chairperson**

1. In line with Regulation 15(6), members of the public are asked by the Chairperson to leave the closed session of the meeting.
2. All attending the Closed Session are reminded of the confidential nature of discussions in Closed Session and the restrictions on disclosure under section 338A of the *Local Government Act 1993*, and also provisions relating to the misuse of information under section 339 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

Council moved to Closed Session at 4.02pm

## **31/2022 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 34(2) *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*)

## **32/2022 LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(h) *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*)

## **33/2022 INTRODUCTION OF KERBSIDE WASTE AND RECYCLING COLLECTION SERVICES**

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(d) *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*)

## **34/2022 CONTRACT NO 233-2021-22 – DELORAINE RECREATION GROUND – INSTALLATION OF AFL SPORTS LIGHTING**

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(d) *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*)

## **35/2022 GENERAL MANAGER'S PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(a) *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*)

Council returned to Open Session at 5.06pm.

### **Release of Information**

1. In accordance with Regulation 15(8) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, Council is to consider whether any discussions, decisions, reports or documents relating to that Closed Session are to be kept confidential or released to the public, taking into account privacy and confidentiality issues in the context of the regulations.
2. The Chairperson will move the following motion if release of information is considered appropriate. In the absence of any motion, all information is confidential and not for release.

Cr Frydrych moved and Cr Cameron seconded ***"that the following information from Council in Closed Session is to be released for the public's information."***

***Following a tender process that resulted in no formal tenders being submitted, Council pursued and negotiated procurement with a supplier for the installation of ground lights at the Deloraine Recreation Ground. This will be scheduled to commence in September 2022. This timeframe reflects the current state of the market for sourcing a qualified contractor."***

***The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Frydrych, Johnston, Kelly, King, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.***

The meeting closed at 5.06pm.

.....  
Wayne Johnston  
**MAYOR**