



Meander Valley Council
Working Together

ORDINARY MINUTES

COUNCIL MEETING

Tuesday 10 August 2021

Table of Contents

136/2021	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	3
137/2021	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING	4
138/2021	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR	4
139/2021	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNCILLORS.....	5
140/2021	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	5
141/2021	TABLING AND ACTION ON PETITIONS.....	5
142/2021	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	5
143/2021	COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME.....	10
144/2021	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC	12
145/2021	SUBURB ROAD, WESTBURY.....	14
146/2021	PROPOSED ROAD NAME – NIGHT TRAIN RISE, PROSPECT VALE.....	21
147/2021	APPOINTMENT TO COUNCIL AUDIT PANEL.....	23
	ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:.....	24
148/2021	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	24
149/2021	LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	24
150/2021	RECEIPT OF LEGAL ADVICE.....	24

Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Meander Valley Council held at the Council Chambers Meeting Room, 26 Lyall Street, Westbury, on Tuesday 10 August 2021 at 3.05pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson Mayor Wayne Johnston, Deputy-Mayor Michael Kelly, Councillors Stephanie Cameron, Tanya King, Frank Nott, Andrew Sherriff, Rodney Synfield, John Temple and Deborah White.

APOLOGIES

Nil

IN ATTENDANCE

John Jordan, General Manager
Merrilyn Young, Executive Assistant
Jacqui Parker, Governance Coordinator
Dino De Paoli, Director Infrastructure Services
Matthew Millwood, Director Works
Krista Palfreyman, Director Development & Regulatory Services
Natasha Whiteley, Team Leader Planning
Jarred Allen, Team Leader Engineering
Matthew Abell, Graduate Town Planner

136/2021 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Councillor White moved and Councillor Sherriff seconded, ***“that the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on Tuesday 13 July 2021, be received and confirmed.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, King, Nott, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

137/2021 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS HELD SINCE THE LAST MEETING

Date	Items discussed:
27 July 2021	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation – Di Robinson – Dog Off-Leash Areas• Presentation – Local Government Division• Public Meeting – Proposed Northern Regional Prison• Audit Panel Membership• Presentation – Integrity Commission• Petition – Carrick Development• Proposed Renaming of Classic Drive, Prospect Vale• LGAT General Meeting – Notice of Motions• Items for Noting:<ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Promotional Plan – Indoor Recreation Facilities

138/2021 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

15 July 2021

Meeting Brian Mitchell

16 July 2021

Meeting SES regarding flooding

23 July 2021

NTDC Board Meeting

26 July 2021

ABC radio interview with Leon Compton

28 July 2021

Regional Visioning workshop NTDC

30 July 2021

White Ribbon Committee reception – Launceston City Council

5 & 6 August 2021

LGAT Conference – Hobart

139/2021 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY COUNCILLORS

Councillor Tanya King

20 July 2021

Met with members of the Blackstone Heights Community to discuss and plan their Community Garden.

140/2021 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

150/2021 Receipt of Legal Advice – Cr Stephanie Cameron

141/2021 TABLING AND ACTION ON PETITIONS

Further action is noted regarding the petition tabled at Council's July Ordinary Meeting ("Petition to Stop Inappropriate Development in Carrick"). The petitioner, Mrs Sally McKaige, attended a Council Workshop on 27 July 2021 to discuss the community's concerns and next steps for Council. Councillors indicated support for undertaking a survey of the Carrick community, to be conducted with input from Mrs McKaige as to its content.

142/2021 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

1. PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – JULY 2021

Nil

2. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – AUGUST 2021

Nil

3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – AUGUST 2021

3.1 Emma Hamilton, Westbury

- a) It appears that Ms Grace Rock, Ms Berris Atkins and Mr Leigh Watts will be speaking at the public meeting tomorrow night, to represent the pro-prison side of the issue. It's my understanding that none of these people signed the petition calling for a public meeting, and had no interest in attending one. Ms Rock even went so far as to use her pro-prison Facebook page to encourage people to call the police on those that were collecting signatures, and also submitted an RTI to Council asking it to release previous petitions against the prison. Will Council please explain why it asked these 3 people to make a presentation at this meeting, a meeting that they originally didn't want and in some instances actively tried to stop?

Response from John Jordan General Manager:

A public meeting conducted by Meander Valley Council is conducted at the expense of all ratepayers and any outcomes affect everyone in the community. Everyone is entitled to attend and have their say, even if they didn't personally advocate for the meeting at this point in time.

- b) Council and the media have been defamatory, painting those that oppose the prison as disruptive and abusive (ie on ABC Radio segments with Belinda King on the 23rd and 27th July) whilst neither Council or mainstream media have reported or commented on the abusive vitriol on Ms Rock's pro-prison page. If Council is willing to make unsubstantiated defamatory comments, and view those that opposed the prison to be loud troublemakers, will Council please explain its stance on the pro-prison vitriol on Ms Rock's prison information page?

Response by Mayor Wayne Johnston:

I do not accept that anyone has been defamed. Councillors and staff can all speak to instances of abuse and harassment. As noted by the General Manager in response to another question without notice, Council does not have any formed position on any individual social media sites. While we may sometimes be aware of posts and other online behaviours, we don't have any Council resources formally dedicated to that type of monitoring.

3.2 Martin Hamilton, Westbury

- a) Will Council please explain to the community how a disillusioned community might procedurally go about "sacking" its elected representatives before the next election and voting in a new council?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

This is a query best addressed to the Department of Premier and Cabinet's Local Government Division. The Minister for Local Government and Planning has certain powers under the Local Government Act 1993 which can result in dismissal of a council.

- b) The Northern Regional Prison Site Info Page on Facebook, administered by Ms Grace Rock, says that it is "Unbiased information about the Northern Regional Prison Site," however whenever Council is looking for pro-prison spokespeople (ie MVC workshop meeting Feb 2020 and tomorrow night's public meeting on the prison) they invariably turn to Ms Rock and her associates from that page. Will Council please clarify whether they view Ms Rock's Facebook page as truly unbiased, or pro-prison?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

Council officers do not monitor or keep track of social media sites, except when a specific issue is brought to our attention by a Councillor, or other representations. As a result, Council has no collective position on the content or intent of any individual social media page.

Ms Rock is entitled to engage with her elected representatives on issues of concern to her, in the same manner that other parties may seek to do so. Council will listen to all viewpoints on the issue.

3.3 Linda Poulton, Westbury

- a) Where and how has the Council advertised the public meeting?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

Council has advertised the public meeting in The Examiner. It is obligated to advertise twice in a daily newspaper in circulation in the Municipality and also promoted through media release and also on its website.

- b) In terms of notice for the meeting, S60A requires notice to be publicly displayed. Where has it been public displayed?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

It was publicly displayed on our website.

- c) Does the Council consider the 2 published notices in the Examiner as satisfying S60A, given that they are different?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

Both notices are correct in the compliance with the statutory obligations to alert people to a public meeting. The first notice had an incorrect date for the conclusion of the period for the written submission which was the 5th of August as opposed to the correct date which is today, the 10th August.

3.4 Peter Wileman, Westbury

- a) My question is about the farce of the questions on the cards. How will the facilitator select the questions that are written on the cards?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

The cards will be collected from the audience and the questions will be selected at random, drawn out of a hat so to speak, and addressed on an alternate basis – one from the floor and one from the cards.

- b) Selected by whom?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

One of the Council staff will most likely do that or the facilitator.

- c) How will the cards be processed under the COVID rules with the pens and the paper. You are very strict about COVID control how do you plan to handle the paper and the pens and wiping everything down?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

That level of hygiene is not required under the current health directives.

Response from Mayor Wayne Johnston:

Can I also say that the paper and pens will be on every seat so they won't be shared amongst individuals.

3.5 Harvey Gee, Westbury

Will people who write their questions on cards be obliged to identify themselves and their place of residence?

Response from John Jordan, General Manager:

No they will not.

3.6 Julie Gee, Westbury

- a) What are the qualifications of Deb Smith to be chosen as the facilitator for this Public Meeting?

Mayor Wayne Johnston:

Deb Smith is not the facilitator for this Public Meeting, Sue Smith is the facilitator for this Public Meeting?

- b) What does Deb Smith have to do with it?

Response by Mayor Wayne Johnston:

Nothing.

- d) Nothing at all

Response by Mayor Wayne Johnston:

No

- e) Because we know very little about the meeting actually?

Response by Mayor Wayne Johnston:

Deb Smith is not the facilitator Sue Smith is the facilitator.

c) So she won't be there at all?

Response by Mayor Wayne Johnston:

I have no idea whether she'll be there or not, it's open to the public.

3.7 Heather Donaldson, Westbury

I am really worried about people being able to ask questions anonymously. You say that they won't have to say who they are or where they're from. Can I ask why not?

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

The whole point of the cards is to allow people that may otherwise feel unable or disinclined to ask a question publicly to express their views.

I am just a bit concerned there, there's nothing I can do about it, but can I please register my huge concern over that.

At 3.14pm Mr Peter Wileman abruptly left the meeting.

143/2021 COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

1. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE – JULY 2021

Nil

2. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE – AUGUST 2021

Nil

3. COUNCILLOR QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – AUGUST 2021

2.1 Cr Deb White

a) Could you please outline the actions to follow the presentation by Di Robinson re the dog run in Westbury?

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

Ms Robinson presented to the Council Workshop on 27 July 2021; providing detail of her history with the Common, its environmental values and her

views on the benefits to be achieved through the restriction of dogs to a lead on the common and the establishment of a dedicated dog off leash area in Westbury.

The information and views provided by Ms Robinson build on the information obtained from a resident survey which was presented to Council Workshop on 24 March 2020 and also a petition from residents of Hadspen in 2019-20.

Any new dog off-leash area in Westbury or elsewhere must follow the process established in the Dog Control Act 2000 and be declared under Council's Dog Management Policy.

Council will review its Dog Management Policy in the coming months with the aim of having an update approved by June 2022. This will, among other aspects, consider the matters raised by Ms Robinson and others, the need for improvements to existing dog of leash areas, and the need for new dog of leash areas. As part of this process, Council will invite submissions from the public and consult with appropriate organisations as required by the Dog Control Act. Any identified improvements will then be considered as part of Council's annual budget and works program.

b) How will the survey of Carrick residents be progressed?

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

As indicated in the agenda item 'Tabling of Petitions' following consideration of the petition (Reference 141/2021), Council has committed to surveying the Carrick community to better understand the communities views on development in the area. A timeframe has yet to be set, but it is envisaged that this survey will occur this financial year.

As the first step, Council officers will consult with the petitioner, Mrs Sally McKaige, around the content of the survey. The Development & Regulatory Services team will make contact with Mrs McKaige in the coming weeks to prepare a draft Survey (on similar lines to the survey done for Westbury residents in 2020) which will then be presented to Council Workshop prior to sending to Carrick residents. The survey will then be mailed to all property owners and residents in Carrick seeking their feedback. This information will be compiled and presented to Council.

c) I am asking this question on behalf of a Westbury resident who wants to know how the speakers for the Public Meeting are being selected and this

refers not to the speakers who represent groups but 3 speakers who do not represent any particular group?

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

On 20 February 2020, Council invited people representing pro-prison groups as well as anti-prison groups to a meeting around consultation. The purpose of that discussion was to draw a line in the sand to create a balanced perspective and a way of dealing with the prison issue moving forward. At that meeting Council gave an undertaking to both groups that when there was information to hand that we would go back to those groups and consult around the mechanisms to consult with the community. Council has maintained that position throughout. There are now individuals that have been selected to present the pro-prison view, these are the same people from the 20 February meeting.

2.2 Cr Frank Nott

General Manager would you provide the following details to the next meeting:

- 1) of the Council's legal costs from the period 1 January to 30 June 2021; and
- 2) the cost to Council for Consultants for the same period.

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

Yes Cr Nott.

2.3 Cr John Temple

Have there been any developments regarding the proposed northern prison since the last Council meeting?

Response by John Jordan, General Manager:

The only update is confirmation that Colin Shepherd, the Project Director of the Northern Regional Prison will be attending the Public Meeting.

144/2021 DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Nil

PLANNING AUTHORITY ITEMS

For the purposes of considering the following Planning Authority items, Council is acting as a Planning Authority under the provisions of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

The following are applicable to all Planning Authority reports:

Strategic/Annual Plan Conformance

Council has a target under the Annual Plan to assess applications within statutory timeframes.

Policy Implications

Not applicable.

Legislation

Council must process and determine the application in accordance with the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* (LUPAA) and its Planning Scheme. The application is made in accordance with Section 57 of LUPAA.

Risk Management

Risk is managed by the inclusion of appropriate conditions on the planning permit.

Financial Consideration

If the application is subject to an appeal to the Resource Management Planning and Appeal Tribunal, Council may be subject to the cost associated with defending its decision.

Alternative Recommendations

Council can either approve the application with amended conditions or refuse the application.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

145/2021 SUBURB ROAD, WESTBURY

The Mayor invited Mr Ron Murray to address Council regarding this agenda item.

Planning Application: PA\21\0315
Proposal: Subdivision (3 lots)
Author: Natasha Whiteley
Team Leader, Town Planning

1) Recommendation

It is recommended that the application for Use and Development for Subdivision (3 lots) on land located at 40 Suburb Road WESTBURY (CT:125610/39) by D.J. McCulloch Surveying, be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans:

- a) McCulloch Surveying; Dated: 22 April 2021; Job No: 1644-2125; Plan Number: 2521-01 DA; Subdivision & Services Plan;**
- b) Rebecca Green & Associates; Dated: 8 June 2021; Job No: RGA-B1809; Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report & Bushfire Hazard Management Plan; Pages: 1-31;**

and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Covenants or similar restrictive controls must not be included on or otherwise imposed on the titles to the lots created by the subdivision, permitted by this permit unless:
 - a) Such covenants or controls are expressly authorised by the terms of this permit or by the consent in writing of Council; and**
 - b) Such covenants or similar controls are submitted for and receive written approval by Council prior to submission of a Plan of Survey and associated title documentation is submitted to Council for sealing.****
- 2. The driveway access servicing Lot 3 must be constructed in accordance with Tasmanian Standard Drawings TSD-R03 and R04 to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure Services. Refer Note 1.**

- 3. The existing driveway accesses servicing Lot 1 and Lot 2 must be upgraded in accordance with Tasmanian Standard Drawings TSD-R03 and R04 to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure Services. Refer Note 1.**
- 4. The works required by the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan are to be completed to the satisfaction of the Tasmanian Fire Service or a practitioner accredited by the Tasmanian Fire Service. Documentation of compliance is to be submitted to the satisfaction of Council's Town Planner.**
- 5. The lots approved by this permit must be maintained at all times in accordance with the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan.**
- 6. The developer must pay to council a public open space contribution of \$5,709.34, equivalent to 5% of the unimproved value of the approved lots.**
- 7. Prior to the sealing of the final plan of subdivision the following must be completed to the satisfaction of Council:**
 - a) Installation and upgrade of driveway accesses to all lots in accordance with Condition 2 & 3;**
 - b) The document of compliance submitted to Council demonstrating that the works as required by the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan have been completed, in accordance with Condition 4; and**
 - c) Payment of public open space contribution in accordance with Condition 6.**

Notes:

- 1. Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. All enquiries should be directed to Council's Infrastructure Department on (03) 6393 5312.**
- 2. Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Development**

and Regulatory Services on 6393 5320 or via email: mail@mvc.tas.gov.au.

3. **This permit takes effect after:**
 - a) **The 14 day appeal period expires; or**
 - b) **Any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or.**
 - c) **Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.**
4. A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Registrar of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal. A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant. For more information see the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal website www.rmpat.tas.gov.au.
5. This permit is valid for two (2) years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.
6. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
7. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
 - a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction,
 - b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania) Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au; and
 - c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

DECISION:

Cr Kelly moved and Cr Cameron seconded ***“that the application for Use and Development for Subdivision (3 lots) on land located at 40 Suburb Road***

WESTBURY (CT:125610/39) by D.J. McCulloch Surveying, be APPROVED, generally in accordance with the endorsed plans:

- a) **McCulloch Surveying; Dated: 22 April 2021; Job No: 1644-2125; Plan Number: 2521-01 DA; Subdivision & Services Plan;**
- b) **Rebecca Green & Associates; Dated: 8 June 2021; Job No: RGA-B1809; Bushfire Hazard Assessment Report & Bushfire Hazard Management Plan; Pages: 1-31;**

and subject to the following conditions:

- 1. **Covenants or similar restrictive controls must not be included on or otherwise imposed on the titles to the lots created by the subdivision, permitted by this permit unless:
 - a) **Such covenants or controls are expressly authorised by the terms of this permit or by the consent in writing of Council; and**
 - b) **Such covenants or similar controls are submitted for and receive written approval by Council prior to submission of a Plan of Survey and associated title documentation is submitted to Council for sealing.****
- 2. **The driveway access servicing Lot 3 must be constructed in accordance with Tasmanian Standard Drawings TSD-R03 and R04 to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure Services. Refer Note 1.**
- 3. **The existing driveway accesses servicing Lot 1 and Lot 2 must be upgraded in accordance with Tasmanian Standard Drawings TSD-R03 and R04 to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure Services. Refer Note 1.**
- 4. **The works required by the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan are to be completed to the satisfaction of the Tasmanian Fire Service or a practitioner accredited by the Tasmanian Fire Service. Documentation of compliance is to be submitted to the satisfaction of Council's Town Planner.**
- 5. **The lots approved by this permit must be maintained at all times in accordance with the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan.**

6. **The developer must pay to council a public open space contribution of \$5,709.34, equivalent to 5% of the unimproved value of the approved lots.**
7. **Prior to the sealing of the final plan of subdivision the following must be completed to the satisfaction of Council:**
 - a) **Installation and upgrade of driveway accesses to all lots in accordance with Condition 2 & 3;**
 - b) **The document of compliance submitted to Council demonstrating that the works as required by the endorsed Bushfire Hazard Management Plan have been completed, in accordance with Condition 4; and**
 - c) **Payment of public open space contribution in accordance with Condition 6.**

Notes:

1. **Prior to any construction being undertaken in the road reserve, separate consent is required by the Road Authority. An Application for Works in Road Reservation form is enclosed. All enquiries should be directed to Council's Infrastructure Department on (03) 6393 5312.**
2. **Any other proposed development and/or use, including amendments to this proposal, may require a separate planning application and assessment against the Planning Scheme by Council. All enquiries can be directed to Council's Development and Regulatory Services on 6393 5320 or via email: mail@mvc.tas.gov.au.**
3. **This permit takes effect after:**
 - a) **The 14 day appeal period expires; or**
 - b) **Any appeal to the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal is abandoned or determined; or.**
 - c) **Any other required approvals under this or any other Act are granted.**
4. **A planning appeal may be instituted by lodging a notice of appeal with the Registrar of the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal. A planning appeal may be instituted within 14 days of the date the Corporation serves notice of the decision on the applicant.**

For more information see the Resource Management and Planning Appeal Tribunal website www.rmpat.tas.gov.au.

5. This permit is valid for two (2) years only from the date of approval and will thereafter lapse if the development is not substantially commenced. An extension may be granted if a request is received.
6. In accordance with the legislation, all permits issued by the permit authority are public documents. Members of the public will be able to view this permit (which includes the endorsed documents) on request, at the Council Office.
7. If any Aboriginal relics are uncovered during works:
 - a) All works are to cease within a delineated area sufficient to protect the unearthed and other possible relics from destruction,
 - b) The presence of a relic is to be reported to Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania Phone: (03) 6233 6613 or 1300 135 513 (ask for Aboriginal Heritage Tasmania) Fax: (03) 6233 5555 Email: aboriginal@heritage.tas.gov.au; and
 - c) The relevant approval processes will apply with state and federal government agencies.

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, Sherriff, Synfield and White voting for the motion and Councillors King, Nott and Temple voting against the motion.

Comment by Councillor Tanya King

I am unable to support the officer's recommendation on this planning application, despite understanding why the planner's recommendation is to approve. My opposition is to the effect of the scheme.

At the inception of the Westbury Specific Area Plan (MEA S11.0) allowing blocks sizes being reduced to 5000m², I voiced my concerns that this plan would allow for a significant intensification of the number of dwellings in an area that is intended for lifestyle properties.

The number of subdivisions, and the subsequent objections to them since the SAP was introduced in my opinion, has proven me correct.

The current grab for short term financial gain at the expense of lifestyle amenity, is far too great, and once these blocks are carved up and reduced in size, will be impossible to undo.

Comment by Councillor Deborah White

I agree that subdivisions and intensification and housing will change the character of Westbury, but as Crs King and Cameron have noted, the Planning Scheme allows it, and we do not have the power to gainsay that.

**146/2021 PROPOSED ROAD NAME – NIGHT TRAIN RISE,
PROSPECT VALE**

AUTHOR: Jarred Allen
Team Leader Engineering

1) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council, pursuant to the provisions of Section 11 of the Place Names Act 2020, approves:

- 1. The renaming of Classic Drive, from Harley Parade up to the intersection of Daytona Rise, Prospect Vale, to Night Train Rise; and***
- 2. Use of the new road name Night Train Rise for the new section of road south of the intersection of Daytona Rise.***

DECISION:

As an amendment Cr White moved and Cr Sheriff seconded ***“that Council offer to affected residents reimbursement of 6 months mail redirection costs following the name change.”***

The amendment was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, King, Nott, Sherriff and White voting for the amendment and Councillors Synfield and Temple voting against the amendment.

Cr Kelly moved and Cr King seconded ***“that Council, pursuant to the provisions of Section 11 of the Place Names Act 2020, approves:***

- 1. The renaming of Classic Drive, from Harley Parade up to the intersection of Daytona Rise, Prospect Vale, to Night Train Rise; and***
- 2. Use of the new road name Night Train Rise for the new section of road south of the intersection of Daytona Rise.***

3. That Council offer to affected residents reimbursement of 6 months mail redirection costs following the name change.”

The amended motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, King, Nott, Sherriff and White voting for the motion and Councillors Synfield and Temple voting against the motion.

Comment by Councillor Deborah White

I would like to see Council offer support to the person/persons affected by this change which is not of their doing.

147/2021 APPOINTMENT TO COUNCIL AUDIT PANEL

AUTHOR: John Jordan
General Manager

1) Recommendation

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Appoint Councillor John Temple as a member of Council's Audit Panel; and***
- 2. Approve the commencement of a recruitment process to appoint a second independent member as a member of Council's Audit Panel.***

DECISION:

Cr Kelly moved and Cr White seconded ***"that Council:***

- 1. Appoint Councillor John Temple as a member of Council's Audit Panel; and***
- 2. Approve the commencement of a recruitment process to appoint a second independent member as a member of Council's Audit Panel.***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, King, Sherriff, Temple and White voting for the motion and Councillors Nott and Synfield voting against the motion.

(Cr Nott abstained from the vote.)

Note: Councillors indicated that any recruitment be deferred following the next Audit Panel discussion and any feedback from Cr Temple.

ITEMS FOR CLOSED SECTION OF THE MEETING:

Councillor White moved and Councillor Kelly seconded ***“that pursuant to Regulation 15(2) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Council close the meeting to the public to discuss the following items.”***

The motion was declared CARRIED with Councillors Cameron, Johnston, Kelly, King, Nott, Sherriff, Synfield, Temple and White voting for the motion.

Council moved to Closed Session at 3.53pm

148/2021 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 34(2) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015)

149/2021 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(h) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015)

150/2021 RECEIPT OF LEGAL ADVICE

(Reference Part 2 Regulation 15(2)(a) Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015)

Council returned to Open Session at 5.22 pm

The meeting closed at 5.23 pm

.....
Wayne Johnston
Mayor